Stormwater Drainage Report

for North Street Condominiums Northampton, MA

> November 11, 2008 Revised 02/19/09

Prepared by:



4 Allen Place, Northampton, Massachusetts 01060

Prepared for: Tofino Associates, Inc. 31 Campus Plaza Road Hadley, MA 01035



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program Checklist for Stormwater Report

A. Introduction

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



A Stormwater Report must be submitted with the Notice of Intent permit application to document compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards. The following checklist is NOT a substitute for the Stormwater Report (which should provide more substantive and detailed information) but is offered here as a tool to help the applicant organize their Stormwater Management documentation for their Report and for the reviewer to assess this information in a consistent format. As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Report must contain the engineering computations and supporting information set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The Stormwater Report must be prepared and certified by a Registered Professional Engineer (RPE) licensed in the Commonwealth.

The Stormwater Report must include:

- The Stormwater Checklist completed and stamped by a Registered Professional Engineer (see page 2) that certifies that the Stormwater Report contains all required submittals.¹ This Checklist is to be used as the cover for the completed Stormwater Report.
- Applicant/Project Name
- Project Address
- Name of Firm and Registered Professional Engineer that prepared the Report
- Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan required by Standards 4-6
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan required by Standard 8²
- Operation and Maintenance Plan required by Standard 9

In addition to all plans and supporting information, the Stormwater Report must include a brief narrative describing stormwater management practices, including environmentally sensitive site design and LID techniques, along with a diagram depicting runoff through the proposed BMP treatment train. Plans are required to show existing and proposed conditions, identify all wetland resource areas, NRCS soil types, critical areas, Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPL), and any areas on the site where infiltration rate is greater than 2.4 inches per hour. The Plans shall identify the drainage areas for both existing and proposed conditions at a scale that enables verification of supporting calculations.

As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Management Report shall document compliance with each of the Stormwater Management Standards as provided in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The soils evaluation and calculations shall be done using the methodologies set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

To ensure that the Stormwater Report is complete, applicants are required to fill in the Stormwater Report Checklist by checking the box to indicate that the specified information has been included in the Stormwater Report. If any of the information specified in the checklist has not been submitted, the applicant must provide an explanation. The completed Stormwater Report Checklist and Certification must be submitted with the Stormwater Report.

¹ The Stormwater Report may also include the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement required by Standard 10. If not included in the Stormwater Report, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement must be submitted prior to the discharge of stormwater runoff to the post-construction best management practices.

² For some complex projects, it may not be possible to include the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan in the Stormwater Report. In that event, the issuing authority has the discretion to issue an Order of Conditions that approves the project and includes a condition requiring the proponent to submit the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan before commencing any land disturbance activity on the site.



B. Stormwater Checklist and Certification

The following checklist is intended to serve as a guide for applicants as to the elements that ordinarily need to be addressed in a complete Stormwater Report. The checklist is also intended to provide conservation commissions and other reviewing authorities with a summary of the components necessary for a comprehensive Stormwater Report that addresses the ten Stormwater Standards.

Note: Because stormwater requirements vary from project to project, it is possible that a complete Stormwater Report may not include information on some of the subjects specified in the Checklist. If it is determined that a specific item does not apply to the project under review, please note that the item is not applicable (N.A.) and provide the reasons for that determination.

A complete checklist must include the Certification set forth below signed by the Registered Professional Engineer who prepared the Stormwater Report.

Registered Professional Engineer's Certification

I have reviewed the Stormwater Report, including the soil evaluation, computations, Long-term Pollution Prevention Plan, the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (if included), the Longterm Post-Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement (if included) and the plans showing the stormwater management system, and have determined that they have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Stormwater Management Standards as further elaborated by the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. I have also determined that the information presented in the Stormwater Checklist is accurate and that the information presented in the Stormwater Report accurately reflects conditions at the site as of the date of this permit application.



Checklist

Project Type: Is the application for new development, redevelopment, or a mix of new and redevelopment?

New development

Redevelopment

Mix of New Development and Redevelopment



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

LID Measures: Stormwater Standards require LID measures to be considered. Document what environmentally sensitive design and LID Techniques were considered during the planning and design of the project:

\boxtimes	No disturbance to any Wetland Resource Areas
	Site Design Practices (e.g. clustered development, reduced frontage setbacks)
	Reduced Impervious Area (Redevelopment Only)
\boxtimes	Minimizing disturbance to existing trees and shrubs
	LID Site Design Credit Requested:
	Credit 1
	Credit 2
	Credit 3
\boxtimes	Use of "country drainage" versus curb and gutter conveyance and pipe
\boxtimes	Bioretention Cells (includes Rain Gardens)
	Constructed Stormwater Wetlands (includes Gravel Wetlands designs)
	Treebox Filter
\boxtimes	Water Quality Swale
\boxtimes	Grass Channel
	Green Roof
	Other (describe):
Sta	ndard 1: No New Untreated Discharges

X No new untreated discharges

- \boxtimes Outlets have been designed so there is no erosion or scour to wetlands and waters of the Commonwealth
- Supporting calculations specified in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook included.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 2: Peak Rate Attenuation

- Standard 2 waiver requested because the project is located in land subject to coastal storm flowage and stormwater discharge is to a wetland subject to coastal flooding.
- Evaluation provided to determine whether off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm.

Calculations provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed predevelopment rates for the 2-year and 10-year 24-hour storms. If evaluation shows that off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm, calculations are also provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development rates for the 100-year 24hour storm.

Standard 3: Recharge

IXI SOII Analysis provide	lvsis provided	Soil Analysis	\boxtimes
---------------------------	----------------	---------------	-------------

- Required Recharge Volume calculation provided.
- Required Recharge volume reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- Sizing the infiltration, BMPs is based on the following method: Check the method used.

🛛 S	Static
-----	--------

Simple Dynamic Dynamic Field¹

- Runoff from all impervious areas at the site discharging to the infiltration BMP.
- Runoff from all impervious areas at the site is *not* discharging to the infiltration BMP and calculations are provided showing that the drainage area contributing runoff to the infiltration BMPs is sufficient to generate the required recharge volume.
- Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume for its respective drainage area.
- Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume *only* to the maximum extent practicable for the following reason:
 - Site is comprised solely of C and D soils and/or bedrock at the land surface
 - M.G.L. c. 21E sites pursuant to 310 CMR 40.0000
 - Solid Waste Landfill pursuant to 310 CMR 19.000
 - Project is otherwise subject to Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum extent practicable.
- Calculations showing that the infiltration BMPs will drain in 72 hours are provided.
- Property includes a M.G.L. c. 21E site or a solid waste landfill and a mounding analysis is included.

¹ 80% TSS removal is required prior to discharge to infiltration BMP if Dynamic Field method is used.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 3: Recharge (continued)

The infiltration BMP is used to attenuate peak flows during storms greater than or equal to the 10year 24-hour storm and separation to seasonal high groundwater is less than 4 feet and a mounding analysis is provided.

Documentation is provided showing that infiltration BMPs do not adversely impact nearby wetland resource areas.

Standard 4: Water Quality

The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan typically includes the following:

- Good housekeeping practices;
- · Provisions for storing materials and waste products inside or under cover;
- Vehicle washing controls;
- Requirements for routine inspections and maintenance of stormwater BMPs;
- Spill prevention and response plans;
- Provisions for maintenance of lawns, gardens, and other landscaped areas;
- Requirements for storage and use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides;
- Pet waste management provisions;
- Provisions for operation and management of septic systems;
- Provisions for solid waste management;
- Snow disposal and plowing plans relative to Wetland Resource Areas;
- Winter Road Salt and/or Sand Use and Storage restrictions;
- Street sweeping schedules;
- Provisions for prevention of illicit discharges to the stormwater management system;
- Documentation that Stormwater BMPs are designed to provide for shutdown and containment in the event of a spill or discharges to or near critical areas or from LUHPPL;
- Training for staff or personnel involved with implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan;
- List of Emergency contacts for implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan.
- A Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan is attached to Stormwater Report and is included as an attachment to the Wetlands Notice of Intent.
- Treatment BMPs subject to the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement and the one inch rule for calculating the water quality volume are included, and discharge:

is within the Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Area

is near or to other critical areas

is within soils with a rapid infiltration rate (greater than 2.4 inches per hour)

involves runoff from land uses with higher potential pollutant loads.

- The Required Water Quality Volume is reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- Calculations documenting that the treatment train meets the 80% TSS removal requirement and, if applicable, the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement, are provided.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist ((continued)
-------------	-------------

Standard 4: Water Quality (continued)

- The BMP is sized (and calculations provided) based on:
 - The 1/2" or 1" Water Quality Volume or

The equivalent flow rate associated with the Water Quality Volume and documentation is provided showing that the BMP treats the required water quality volume.

\ge	The applicant proposes to use proprietary BMPs, and documentation supporting use of proprietary
	BMP and proposed TSS removal rate is provided. This documentation may be in the form of the
	propriety BMP checklist found in Volume 2, Chapter 4 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook
	and submitting copies of the TARP Report, STEP Report, and/or other third party studies verifying
	performance of the proprietary BMPs.

A TMDL exists that indicates a need to reduce pollutants other than TSS and documentation showing that the BMPs selected are consistent with the TMDL is provided.

Standard 5: Land Uses With Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLs) Not Applicable – Proposed project site is not expected to yield high potential pollutant loads.

The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the Stormwater Pollution
Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has been included with the Stormwater Report.

- The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the SWPPP will be submitted *prior* to the discharge of stormwater to the post-construction stormwater BMPs.
- The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit does *not* cover the land use.
- LUHPPLs are located at the site and industry specific source control and pollution prevention measures have been proposed to reduce or eliminate the exposure of LUHPPLs to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff, and been included in the long term Pollution Prevention Plan.
- All exposure has been eliminated.
- All exposure has not been eliminated and all BMPs selected are on MassDEP LUHPPL list.
- The LUHPPL has the potential to generate runoff with moderate to higher concentrations of oil and grease (e.g. all parking lots with >1000 vehicle trips per day) and the treatment train includes an oil grit separator, a filtering bioretention area, a sand filter or equivalent.

Standard 6: Critical Areas Not Applicable - The project site does not discharge to a critical area.

- The discharge is near or to a critical area and the treatment train includes only BMPs that MassDEP has approved for stormwater discharges to or near that particular class of critical area.
- Critical areas and BMPs are identified in the Stormwater Report.



Checklist (continued)

Standard 7: Redevelopments and Other Projects Subject to the Standards only to the maximum extent practicable

The project is subject to the Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum Extent Practicable as a: site with only "Hydrologic Group C" Soils

Limited	Project
---------	---------

- Small Residential Projects: 5-9 single family houses or 5-9 units in a multi-family development provided there is no discharge that may potentially affect a critical area.
- Small Residential Projects: 2-4 single family houses or 2-4 units in a multi-family development with a discharge to a critical area
- Marina and/or boatyard provided the hull painting, service and maintenance areas are protected from exposure to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff
- Bike Path and/or Foot Path
- Redevelopment Project
- Redevelopment portion of mix of new and redevelopment.
- Certain standards are not fully met (Standard No. 1, 8, 9, and 10 must always be fully met) and an explanation of why these standards are not met is contained in the Stormwater Report.
- ☐ The project involves redevelopment and a description of all measures that have been taken to improve existing conditions is provided in the Stormwater Report. The redevelopment checklist found in Volume 2 Chapter 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook may be used to document that the proposed stormwater management system (a) complies with Standards 2, 3 and the pretreatment and structural BMP requirements of Standards 4-6 to the maximum extent practicable and (b) improves existing conditions.

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan must include the following information:

- Narrative;
- Construction Period Operation and Maintenance Plan;
- Names of Persons or Entity Responsible for Plan Compliance;
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention Measures;
- Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawings;
- Detail drawings and specifications for erosion control BMPs, including sizing calculations;
- Vegetation Planning;
- Site Development Plan;
- Construction Sequencing Plan;
- Sequencing of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Operation and Maintenance of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Inspection Schedule;
- Maintenance Schedule;
- Inspection and Maintenance Log Form.
- A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan containing the information set forth above has been included in the Stormwater Report.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control (continued)

- ☐ The project is highly complex and information is included in the Stormwater Report that explains why it is not possible to submit the Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan with the application. A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control has *not* been included in the Stormwater Report but will be submitted *before* land disturbance begins.
- The project is *not* covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit and a copy of the **DRAFT** SWPPP is in the Stormwater Report.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit but no SWPPP been submitted. The SWPPP will be submitted BEFORE land disturbance begins.

Standard 9: Operation and Maintenance Plan

- The Post Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan is included in the Stormwater Report and includes the following information:
 - Name of the stormwater management system owners;
 - Party responsible for operation and maintenance;
 - Schedule for implementation of routine and non-routine maintenance tasks;
 - Plan showing the location of all stormwater BMPs maintenance access areas;
 - Description and delineation of public safety features;
 - Estimated operation and maintenance budget; and
 - Operation and Maintenance Log Form.
- The responsible party is *not* the owner of the parcel where the BMP is located and the Stormwater Report includes the following submissions:
 - A copy of the legal instrument (deed, homeowner's association, utility trust or other legal entity) that establishes the terms of and legal responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the project site stormwater BMPs;
 - A plan and easement deed that allows site access for the legal entity to operate and maintain BMP functions.

Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

- The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan includes measures to prevent illicit discharges;
- An Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached;
- NO Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached but will be submitted *prior to* the discharge of any stormwater to post-construction BMPs.

Northampton, Massachusetts	Stormwater Drainage Report
Table of Controduction	ontents 2
Site Terrain and Soils	2
Existing Conditions	3
Proposed Conditions	3
Calculations and Design	4
Stormwater Standards	6
Summary	8
References	8

<u>Figures</u>

Figure 1	Pre-Devel	opment Dr	ainage A	rea Map
----------	------------------	-----------	----------	---------

- Figure 2 Post Development Drainage Area Map
- Figure 3 USDA Soils Map

Appendices

- Appendix A Pre- and Post Development Hydrologic Calculations
- Appendix B Soil Test Pit Information
- Appendix C TSS Removal Summary and Calculations
- Appendix D Standard 3 Recharge Calculations
- Appendix E Proposed Stormwater Management System Operation & Maintenance Plan
- Appendix F Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan
- Appendix G Stormwater Pollution Prevention and Erosion Control Plan

I. Introduction

The following report presents an analysis of the stormwater management system for the proposed development of 23 housing units located off of Northern Avenue in zoning district URB in Northampton, Massachusetts. The proposed development includes 23 new housing units and associated parking areas, driveways (approximately 1087 LF), and sidewalks, utilities, landscape features and stormwater management system. The total site area is approximately 6 acres of which approximately 2.44 acres will be disturbed by construction activities. The impervious area on site will increase by approximately 1.10 acres due to the new development and the stormwater management system has been designed to minimize proposed peak flows to reduce or match existing flows off the site. Mechanisms to reduce runoff and treat water quality include a rain garden, a proprietary treatment chamber, infiltration trench, dry wells, deep sump hooded catch basins, and a detention basin.

II. Site Terrain and Soils

The project site is comprised of gradual sloping terrain, which generally drains toward the wetlands on the west part of the site.

The USDA Soil Survey of Hampshire County, Massachusetts, Central Part report classifies the site soils as (see attached soil map):

• (Ra) Raynham Silt Loam

Hydrologic Group: C Flood Risk: None Depth to Water Table: 0.5'-2.0' Depth to Bedrock: >60"

• (Au) Amostown-Windsor Silty Substratum

Hydrologic Group: C Flood Risk: None Depth to Water Table: 1.5'-3.0' Depth to Bedrock: >60"

• (Ud) Udorthents Smooth

Soil formed by cutting or filling developed area. Reference pedon not given. Assumed Hydrologic Group: C

A series of test pits were conducted on site to determine subsurface conditions. The purpose of the test pits was to evaluate the site for the existence of ledge, the ability of the site to support stormwater drainage components, and for groundwater information. In general, the test pits confirmed the USDA Soil Survey findings for the site as ground water is generally very high throughout the site, thereby reducing the potential areas where infiltration would be feasible. The test pit logs are attached in Appendix B.

Note: Additional test pits will be performed on 3/9/09 within all proposed infiltration and detention structures to confirm the soils and groundwater at the exact location of the proposed BMPs.

III. Existing Conditions

The existing site includes one drainage area: E-1. The existing drainage area boundary is depicted on the Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan (Figure 1). The overall curve number (CN) in existing conditions is 74. The control point to determine peak flow in existing conditions is the stream located on the property line west of the site shown on Figure 1 as E-CP. The following is a brief description of the drainage area:

<u>E-1</u>

E-1 is approximately 7.84 acres in size (approximately 0.115 acres of impervious area) and contains existing houses, pavement, grass, wooded areas, and a large wetland area on the west part of the site. Runoff flows overland in a southwestern direction through the wetlands and to the stream located southwest of the site.

IV. Proposed Conditions

The stormwater management system in proposed conditions has been designed to treat and reduce runoff on site. The proposed site contains five drainage areas: P-1, P-2, P-3, P-4, and P-5 (See Figure 2) and the overall curve number (CN) in proposed conditions is 79. The control point to determine peak flow in proposed conditions is the stream located on the property line located west of the site shown on Figure 2 as P-CP. The following is a brief description of each drainage area:

P-1

P-1 is approximately 5.85 acres in size and contains the northwestern area of the site consisting of all of the wetland area, grass and wooded areas, and new roof and pavement. The drainage follows a similar pattern as in existing conditions. It flows overland in a southwestern direction through the wetlands and to the stream located southwest of the site. The runoff from the back of the new roof areas located within P-1 is directed to dry wells where the water is infiltrated into the ground. These dry wells are designed to be very shallow (approximately one foot

Stormwater Drainage Report

in depth) due to high groundwater throughout the site. The dry wells are designed with an overflow outlet that allows roof water to sheet flow overland toward the wetlands as it does in existing conditions in larger storms.

<u>P-2</u>

P-2 is approximately 0.27 acres in size and is located in the central eastern portion of the site. It contains the front portion of the roof areas from units 15-20 as well as a portion of the new road and grassed areas. The runoff from this area is directed to a rain garden which has been sized to clean and remove pollutants from the stormwater runoff prior to discharging toward the wetlands.

<u>P-3</u>

P-3 is approximately 0.40 acres in size and is located in the eastern part of the site. It contains new pavement, grass, and existing wooded areas. Runoff from this area is directed to an infiltration trench which allows water to be recharged into the ground in smaller storms. In larger storms the infiltration trench is designed to overflow into the downstream pipe network which discharges into the detention basin at the south area of the site.

<u>P-4</u>

P-4 is approximately 1.02 acres in size and is located in the southern part of the site. It contains the majority of the new pavement and the front roof areas from units 1-5 and 11-14. It also contains existing grass and wooded areas along the property line. Runoff is directed into deep sump hooded catch basins, which flow into a stormwater treatment chamber (STC 1200) which removes pollutants prior to discharging into the detention basin located behind units 9 and 10.

<u>P-5</u>

P-5 is approximately 0.30 acres in size and is located in the southwest corner of the site. It contains roof areas from units 4-10, grass and the detention basin. Runoff from the roof areas flow into dry wells designed to infiltrate the water into the ground prior to entering the detention basin as the runoff from the other areas do.

V. Calculations and Design

Drainage calculations were performed on Hydrocad Stormwater Modeling System version 8.0 using Soil Conservation Service (SCS) TR-20 methodology. The SCS method is based on rainfall observations, which were used to develop the Intensity-Duration-Frequency relationship, or IDF curve. The mass curve is a dimensionless distribution of rainfall over time, which indicates the fraction of the rainfall event that occurs at a given time within a 24-hour precipitation event. This synthetic distribution develops peak rates for storms of varying duration and intensities. The SCS distribution provides a cumulative rainfall at any point in

time and allows volume dependent routing runoff calculations to occur. These calculations are included in the appendices.

The watershed boundaries for calculation purposes are divided according to the proposed site grading and the natural limits of the drainage areas. The curve numbers (CNs) and times of concentration for the existing and proposed subcatchment areas are based on the soil type and the existing and proposed cover conditions at the site. The soil hydrologic group assumed for the site is noted in Figure 3. Watershed subcatchment areas, runoff coefficients and watercourse slopes are based on survey information.

Calculations were performed for the 2-, 10-, and 100-year frequency storms under existing and proposed conditions. The results of the calculations are presented in Table 1 on the following page. Appendix A presents the Hydrocad calculations.

Flow Rates & Water Quantity

In the post development conditions the runoff from the proposed site will be routed to a detention basin located in the south area of the site. The proposed detention basin is composed of both surface and underground storage. There is a pipe and header system connected to the surface detention basin which provides additional storage. The basin will attenuate peak flows up to the 100-Year Storm in proposed conditions through the use of an outlet control structure. Stormwater will be discharged to the wetlands as runoff does in existing conditions. In addition to the detention basin, 9 infiltration systems are proposed (1 infiltration trench and 8 dry wells) which will provide significant stormwater attenuation (through exfiltration); however these systems were not included in the hydrocad calculations in order to provide a more conservative runoff quantity calculation. Table 1 on the following page presents the comparison of flow rates and water quantity at both existing and proposed control points based solely on the detention basin's attenuation capacity.

Condition & Point of	2-Year Storm		10-Year Storm		100-Year Storm		
	3.00"		4.50"		6.50"		
Analysis	Peak Flow	Volume	Peak Flow	Volume	Peak Flow	Volume	
	Rate(cfs)	(acre-ft)	Rate(cfs)	(acre-ft)	Rate(cfs)	(acre-ft)	
Existing – Control Pt. (E-CP)*	4.71	0.569	11.07	1.256	21.00	2.346	
Proposed – Control Pt. (P-CP)*	4.67	0.667	11.01	1.408	20.97	2.552	

Table 1 Peak Flow and Volume Summary

*Names in parentheses refer to HydroCad model and calculations.

VI. MADEP Stormwater Standards Compliance

The following section details how the project will meet DEP Stormwater Management Policy's ten stormwater management standards.

Standard 1 - Untreated Stormwater

The proposed stormwater system is designed to treat the new point source discharge prior to flowing to the resource area. All new outlets are outfitted with flared ends and erosion protection to prevent any erosion from occurring in the area. See Appendix C for TSS removal summary.

Standard 2 - Post-Development Peak Discharge Rates

The stormwater system is designed so that post-development peak discharge rates *are less than* pre-development peak discharge rates leaving the site. In order to reduce runoff rates in proposed conditions a detention basin with an outlet control structures is proposed. Note that although 9 infiltration systems are proposed, none are not included in the runoff calculations in order to maintain a more conservative peak discharge rate. Refer to Table 1 Peak Flow and Volume Summary and Appendix A for HydroCAD calculations.

Standard 3 - Recharge to Groundwater

The proposed site has designed to recharge groundwater to the maximum extent practicable in proposed conditions. The entire site consists of hydrologic group "C" soils and there is very high groundwater throughout most of the site (see Appendix B for test pit logs). The soil conditions caused limitations for infiltration on many areas of the site because the required 2 feet separation from groundwater could not be met. Wherever possible, infiltration has been proposed. The proposed infiltration trench located on the east side of the site has been designed to recharge the impervious runoff located within drainage area P-3. All of the new unit's roofs are connected to a shallow dry well system designed to recharge roof runoff into the ground. Due to restrictions of the site terrain and soil conditions, not all of the impervious area could be directed to an infiltration system, therefore all 8 of the dry well systems are oversized to maximize the amount of infiltration on site. They are designed to hold at least twice the required recharge volume and still drawdown within 72 hours in order to meet Standard 3 to the maximum extent practicable (see Appendix D for recharge calculations).

Standard 4 – Water Quality

The proposed stormwater management system has been designed to remove the average annual Total Suspended Solids (TSS) load equal to or in excess of 80% for the proposed site conditions (see Appendix C for calculations). There are 3 treatment chains proposed:

Treatment Train 1 (total of 90% TSS removed)

The first treatment chain contains the roof areas and paved impervious areas within P-2. The runoff is directed through a pre-treatment system consisting of a stone diaphragm and grassed area which discharges into a rain garden which achieves a total annual TSS removal rate of approximately 90%. The water quality basin has been designed to hold a larger volume of water (369cf) than the water quality volume required (354cf) for the impervious area directed to it.

Treatment Train 2(total of 83% TSS removed)

The second treatment chain contains the impervious areas from P-3 and P-4 which is approximately 0.58 acres. The runoff is directed into deep sump hooded catch basins (25% TSS removal) and then to a proprietary treatment chamber (*Stormceptor STC 1200, 77% TSS removal) which achieves a total TSS removal rate of approximately 83%.

*Note: The stormwater treatment chamber has been sized based on MASTEP test evaluations. The attached table in appendix C displays MASTEP evaluated TSS removal rates based on the impervious area directed to the system.

Treatment Train 3(total of 80% TSS removed)

The third treatment chain contains a portion or all of the roof areas from all of the units. Where possible, the roof area is directed into a shallow dry well sized to hold the water quality volume and achieve a total annual TSS removal rate of approximately 80%. Although each of roof areas are not hydraulically connected, they are considered to be within the same treatment chain as they all utilize the same BMP (dry well). See Appendix C for water quality and BMP sizing calculations.

In addition to removal of TSS, a Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan has been created to maintain a clean site and ensure that all BMPs are functioning to their maximum potential. See Appendix F for Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan.

Standard 5 - Higher Potential Pollutant Loads

The proposed project is not expected to yield high potential pollutant loads.

Standard 6 - Protection of Critical Areas

The project site does not discharge to critical areas as defined in MA DEP Stormwater Policy Handbook.

Standard 7 - Redevelopment Projects

The redevelopment of previously developed site standard is not applicable for this project.

Standard 8 - Erosion/Sediment Control

Erosion and sediment controls have been incorporated into the project design to prevent erosion, control sediments, and stabilized exposed soils during construction and land disturbance. See Appendix G for Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion Sedimentation Control.

Standard 9 - Operation/Maintenance Plan

An Operation and Maintenance Plan for the proposed project is included in Appendix E. It includes general controls for construction and long term maintenance of the stormwater management system.

Standard 10 – Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

No Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is included with this report however one will be submitted prior to the discharge of any stormwater to postconstruction BMPs.

VII. Summary

The impervious area from existing to proposed conditions will increase by approximately 1.10 acres from the new roadway and housing units. The proposed stormwater management system is designed to maintain or reduce the peak flow rates in proposed conditions for the 2-, 10-, and 100-year storm frequencies. Special care has been taken to treat runoff with a series of best management practices to ensure water quality and annual TSS removal rates equal to or in excess of 80%. These methods include deep sump hooded catch basins, a rain garden, dry wells, and a stormwater treatment chamber.

VIII. References

United States Department of Agriculture. 1998. Soil Survey of Hampshire County (Central Part), Massachusetts.

North Street Condominiums

Northampton, Massachusetts

Stormwater Drainage Report Appendix

Figures







North Street Condominiums

Northampton, Massachusetts

Stormwater Drainage Report Appendix

<u>Appendix A – Pre- and Post Development</u> <u>Hydrologic Calculations</u>

Appendix



Northern Avenue Housing-Active Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group HydroCAD® 8.00 s/n 000752 © 2006 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 2 3/5/2009

Area Listing (selected nodes)

<u>Area (acres)</u>	<u>CN</u>	Description (subcats)
5.720	70	Woods, Good, HSG C (E-1,P-1,P-3,P-4)
3.961	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C (E-1,P-1,P-2,P-3,P-4,P-5)
4.416	78	Wetlands (E-1,P-1)
0.058	90	Patio (P-1,P-5)
0.125	98	Basin (P-2,P-5)
1.390	98	Paved parking & roofs (E-1,P-1,P-2,P-3,P-4,P-5)
45 074		

15.671

Northern Avenue Housing-Active Prepared by The Berkshire Design Gro	Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=2.5 Pag					
Hydroc AD& 8.00 S/n 000752 @ 2006 Hydroc	JAD Sollware Solu				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3/5/2009
Time span=0.0 Runoff by Reach routing by Stor-Ind+	00-24.00 hrs, dt=0 v SCS TR-20 meth Trans method - P	.05 hrs, 481 p iod, UH=SCS Pond routing b	ooints by Stor-Ir	nd metho	bd	
Subcatchment E-1: Existing Conditions		Runoff Are	ea=341,3	04.sf R	unoff De	pth>0.87"
5	Flow Length=570'	Tc=23.1 min	CN=74	Runoff=	=4.71 cfs	0.569 af
Subcatchment P-1: West Area to DB		Runoff Are	ea=254,9	32 sf R	unoff De	pth>0.98"
	Flow Length=351'	Tc=19.8 min	CN=76	Runoff=	=4.30 cfs	0.476 af
Subcatchment P-2: North Area to Rain G	arden	Runoff A	rea=11,8	02.sf R	unoff De	pth>2.21"
		Tc=5.0 min	CN=93	Runoff=	=0.69 cfs	0.050 af
Subcatchment P-3: Northeast Area to Inf.	. Trench then DB	Runoff A	rea=17,2	33 sf R	unoff De	pth>1.34"
		Tc=5.0 min	CN=82	Runoff=	=0.62 cfs	0.044 af
Subcatchment P-4: South East area to DI	В	Runoff A	rea=44,4	39 sf R	unoff De	pth>1.47"
	Flow Length=160'	Tc=15.0 min	CN=84	Runoff=	=1.33 cfs	0.125 af
Subcatchment P-5: South West area to D	В	Runoff A	rea=12,8	98 sf R	unoff De	pth>1.94"
		Tc=5.0 min	CN=90	Runoff=	=0.67 cfs	0.048 af
Reach E-CP: Control Point-Stream at PL				Inflow=	⊧4.71 cfs	0.569 af
				Outflow=	⊧4.71 cfs	0.569 af
Reach P-CP: Control Point -Stream at PL				Inflow=	=4.67 cfs	0.667 af
				Outriow=	=4.07 CIS	U.667 at
Pond DB: Detention Basin	Peak Elev=9	0.37' Storage	=5,145 cf	Inflow=	=2.22 cfs	0.217 af
				Outilow=	-0.23 015	0.141 al

Total Runoff Area = 15.671 acRunoff Volume = 1.312 afAverage Runoff Depth = 1.00"90.33% Pervious Area = 14.155 ac9.67% Impervious Area = 1.516 ac

Northern Avenue Housing-Active

-- -- --

Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group HydroCAD® 8.00 s/n 000752 © 2006 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=2.95" Page 4 C 3/5/2009

Subcatchment E-1: Existing Conditions

Runoff = 4.71 cfs @ 12.36 hrs, Volume= 0.569 af, Depth> 0.87"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=2.95"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description				
	96,180	78	78 Wetlands				
	93,521	74 :	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG C		
	6,300	98	Paved parking & roofs				
1	45,303	70	70 Woods, Good, HSG C				
3	41,304	74	Weighted A	verage			
3	35,004		Pervious Ar	ea			
	6,300	ļ	Impervious	Area			
_							
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description		
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cts)			
6.7	60	0.0200	0.15		Sheet Flow,		
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00"		
4.8	280	0.0375	0.97		Shallow Concentrated Flow,		
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps		
11.6	230	0.0174	0.33		Shallow Concentrated Flow,		
					Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps		
23.1	570	Total					

Subcatchment P-1: West Area to DB

Runoff = 4.30 cfs @ 12.30 hrs, Volume= 0.476 af, Depth> 0.98"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=2.95"

f) CN	Description
0 78	Wetlands
0 74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C
98 2	Paved parking & roofs
0 70	Woods, Good, HSG C
0 90	Patio
2 76	Weighted Average
0	Pervious Area
2	Impervious Area
	f) CN 0 78 0 74 2 98 0 70 0 90 2 76 0 2

Northern Avenue Housing-Active Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group

_	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope _(ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	8.4	89	0.0250	0.18		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00"
	1.5	62	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	9.9	200	0.0180	0.34		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Forest w/Heavy Litter Ky= 2.5 fps
	19.8	351	Total			

Subcatchment P-2: North Area to Rain Garden

[49] Hint: Tc<2dt may require smaller dt

0.69 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.050 af, Depth> 2.21" Runoff =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=2.95"

<u> </u>	rea (sf)	CN	Description				
	2,650	74	>75% Gras	75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C			
	8,494	98	Paved park	ing & roofs			
	658	98	Basin	Basin			
	11,802 93 Weighted Average						
	2,650		Pervious A	rea			
	9,152		Impervious	Area			
-		<u> </u>		• •	B 1.11		
IC	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description		
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(CfS)			
5.0					Direct Entry, Min TC		

Subcatchment P-3: Northeast Area to Inf. Trench then DB

[49] Hint: Tc<2dt may require smaller dt

0.62 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.044 af, Depth> 1.34" Runoff =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=2.95"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
8,865	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C
5,824	98	Paved parking & roofs
2,544	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
17,233	82	Weighted Average
11,409		Pervious Area
5,824		Impervious Area

Northe Prepare HydroCA	ern Aven ed by The AD® 8.00	e Berksh s/n 00075	sing-Act ire Desigi 2 © 2006 I	oftware Solutions I	Type III 24-hr 2-Year	Rainfall=2.95" Page 6 <u>3/5/2009</u>	
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description		
5.0					Direct Entry, Mi	n TC	
			Subcate	chment P	-4: South East	area to DB	
Runoff	=	1.33 cfs	s@ 12.2	1 hrs, Volu	me= 0.12	5 af, Depth> 1.47"	
Runoff b Type III	Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=2.95"						
A	rea (sf)	CN D	escription		<u></u>		
	13,348	74 >	75% Gras	s cover, Go	od, HSG C		
	19,769	98 P	aved park	ing & roofs			
	11,32270Woods, Good, HSG C44,43984Weighted Average24,670Pervious Area19,769Impervious Area						
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description		
(min)	(feet)	<u>(ft/ft)</u>	(ft/sec)	(cfs)			<u> </u>
14.7	100	0.0200	0.11		Sheet Flow,		
0.3	60	0.0233	3.10		Shallow Concer Paved Kv= 20.3	ntrated Flow, B fps	
15.0	160	Total					
			Subcato	hment P-	5: South West	area to DB	

[49] Hint: Tc<2dt may require smaller dt

Runoff = 0.67 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.048 af, Depth> 1.94"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=2.95"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description					
	4,418	74	>75% Gras	75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C				
	3,440	98	Paved park	ing & roofs				
	4,800	98	Basin	Basin				
	240	90	Patio	Patio				
	12,898	90	90 Weighted Average					
	4,658		Pervious Ar					
	8,240		Impervious	Area				
T -	1	0		0	Deve dette			
	Length	Slop		Capacity	Description			
(min)	(teet)	(π/п	(<u>) (II/Sec)</u>	(CIS)				
5.0					Direct Entry, Min TC			

Northern Avenue Housing-Active

Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group HydroCAD® 8.00 s/n 000752 © 2006 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Reach E-CP: Control Point-Stream at PL

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow A	rea =	7.835 ac, Inflow Depth >	0.87" for 2-Year event	
Inflow	=	4.71 cfs @ 12.36 hrs, Vol	olume= 0.569 af	
Outflow	=	4.71 cfs @ 12.36 hrs, Vol	olume= 0.569 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 n	nin

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach P-CP: Control Point -Stream at PL

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Are	a =	7.835 ac, Inflow Depth > 1.02"	for 2-Year event
Inflow	=	4.67 cfs @ 12.29 hrs, Volume=	0.667 af
Outflow	=	4.67 cfs @ 12.29 hrs, Volume=	0.667 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 mir

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond DB: Detention Basin

Inflow Are	ea =	1.712 ac, Inflow Depth > 1.52"	for 2-Year event
Inflow	=	2.22 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume=	0.217 af
Outflow	=	0.23 cfs @ 13.74 hrs, Volume=	0.141 af, Atten= 90%, Lag= 97.2 min
Primary	=	0.23 cfs @ 13.74 hrs, Volume=	0.141 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 90.37' @ 13.74 hrs Surf.Area= 4,607 sf Storage= 5,145 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 293.6 min calculated for 0.141 af (65% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 190.0 min (1,022.9 - 832.8)

Volume	Invert /	Avail.Storage	Sto <u>ra</u>	ge Description
#1	89.00'	11,271 cf	Custo	om Stage Data (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)
#2	89.00'	94 cf	24.0"	D x 30.00'L 24" Inlet Pipe (from DMH to Basin) S= 0.0066 '/'
#3	89.20'	352 cf	24.0''	D x 112.00'L 24" Pipe (from SWTC to DMH) S= 0.0050 '/'
#4	89.00'	2,474 cf	36.0"	D x 70.00'L 36" Lateral Pipe x 5 x 5
#5	89.00'	339 cf	36.0"	D x 24.00'L 36" Header Pipe x 2 × 2
		14,531 cf	Total	Available Storage
Elevation	Surf.Ar	rea Inc	Store.	Cum.Store
(feet)	(sq	-ft) (cubi	c-feet)	(cubic-feet)
89.00	2,2	43	0	0
90.00	2,8	85	2,564	2,564
91.00	3,5	75	3,230	5,794
92.00	4,3	91	3,983	9,777
92.33	4,6	66	1,494	11,271

Northern Avenue Housing-Active

Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=2.95" Page 8

Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group HydroCAD® 8.00 s/n 000752 © 2006 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	88.90'	12.0" x 18.0' long Culvert CPP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Outlet Invert= 88.75 ' S= 0.0083 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.010 PVC, smooth interior
#2 #3	Device 1 Device 1	90.20' 89.00'	10.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 2.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
#4	Primary	91.67'	6.0' long x 2.0' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 Coef. (English) 2.54 2.61 2.61 2.60 2.66 2.70 2.77 2.89 2.88 2.85 3.07 3.20 3.32

Primary OutFlow Max=0.23 cfs @ 13.74 hrs HW=90.37' (Free Discharge)

-1=Culvert (Passes 0.23 cfs of 3.66 cfs potential flow)

2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.11 cfs @ 1.40 fps) **3=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 0.12 cfs @ 5.46 fps) **4=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir** (Controls 0.00 cfs)

3/5/2009

Northern Avenue Housing-Active Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group		Type	III 24-hr 1	0-Year R	<i>ainfall=</i> 4. Pag	. <i>45"</i> ge 9
HydroCAD® 8.00 s/n 000752 © 2006 HydroCAD	Software Solu	tions LLC			3/5/2	<u>:009</u>
Time span=0.00-2 Runoff by SC Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Tran	24.00 hrs, dt=0 CS TR-20 meth ns method - F	0.05 hrs, 481 p nod, UH=SCS Pond routing b	ooints G by Stor-Inc	d method		
Subcatchment E-1: Existing Conditions Flow	Length=570'	Runoff Ar Tc=23.1 min	ea=341,30 CN=74 F	4 sf Runoi Runoff=11.0	f Depth>1 7 cfs 1.25	.92" 56 af
Subcatchment P-1: West Area to DB	w Length=351'	Runoff Are Tc=19.8 min	ea=254,93 CN=76	2 sf Runo Runoff=9.5	f Depth>2 9 cfs 1.01	.08" 5 af
Subcatchment P-2: North Area to Rain Garde	en	Runoff A Tc=5.0 min	rea=11,80 CN=93	2 sf Runot Runoff=1.1	f Depth>3 1 cfs 0.08	.66" 3 af
Subcatchment P-3: Northeast Area to Inf. Tre	ench then DB	Runoff A Tc=5.0 min	rea=17,23 CN=82	3 sf Runof Runoff=1.2	f Depth>2 0 cfs 0.08	.59" 5 af
Subcatchment P-4: South East area to DB Flow	w Length=160'	Runoff A Tc=15.0 min	rea=44,43 CN=84	9 sf Runot Runoff=2.4	f Depth>2 9 cfs 0.23	.76" 5 af
Subcatchment P-5: South West area to DB		Runoff A Tc=5.0 min	rea=12,89 CN=90	8 sf Runof Runoff=1.1	f Depth>3 4 cfs 0.08	.35" 3 af
Reach E-CP: Control Point-Stream at PL			l Ot	nflow=11.0 utflow=11.0	7 cfs 1.25 7 cfs 1.25	6 af 6 af
Reach P-CP: Control Point -Stream at PL			ן סנ	nflow=11.0 utflow=11.0	1 cfs 1.40 1 cfs 1.40	8 af 8 af
Pond DB: Detention Basin	Peak Elev=9	0.89' Storage	=7,605 cf C	Inflow=4.1 Outflow=1.5	4 cfs 0.40 0 cfs 0.31	3 af 0 af

Total Runoff Area = 15.671 acRunoff Volume = 2.757 afAverage Runoff Depth = 2.11"90.33% Pervious Area = 14.155 ac9.67% Impervious Area = 1.516 ac

Northern Avenue Housing-Active

Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group HydroCAD® 8.00 s/n 000752 © 2006 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.45" Page 10 3/5/2009

Subcatchment E-1: Existing Conditions

Runoff 11.07 cfs @ 12.33 hrs, Volume= 1.256 af, Depth> 1.92" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.45"

A	rea <u>(sf</u>)	CN	Description		
	96,180	78	Wetlands		
	93,521	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C
	6,300	98	Paved park	ing & roofs	
1	45,303	70	<u>Woods, Go</u>	<u>od, HSG C</u>	
3	41,304	74	Weighted A	verage	
3	35,004		Pervious Ar	ea	
	6,300		Impervious	Area	
Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	<u>(cfs)</u>	
6.7	60	0.0200	0.15		Sheet Flow,
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00"
4.8	280	0.0375	0.97		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
11.6	230	0.0174	0.33		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps
23.1	570	Total			

Subcatchment P-1: West Area to DB

Runoff 9.59 cfs @ 12.28 hrs, Volume= 1.015 af, Depth> 2.08" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.45"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
96,180	78	Wetlands
49,740	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C
16,732	98	Paved parking & roofs
90,000	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
2,280	90	Patio
254,932	76	Weighted Average
238,200		Pervious Area
16,732		Impervious Area

Northern Avenue Housing-Active

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.45" Page 11 LC 3/5/2009

i icpaica by	1110	Derrorme	Debigin	aroup			
HydroCAD® 8	.00 s	<u>s/n 000752</u>	© 2006 H	ydroCAD	Software	Solutions	<u>LLC</u>

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	(1001)		(10000)	(010)	
8.4	89	0.0250	0.18		Sheet Flow,
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00"
1.5	62	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
9.9	200	0.0180	0.34		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps
19.8	351	Total			

Subcatchment P-2: North Area to Rain Garden

[49] Hint: Tc<2dt may require smaller dt

Runoff = 1.11 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.083 af, Depth> 3.66"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.45"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description			
	2,650	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C	
	8,494	98	Paved park	ing & roofs		
	658	98	Basin	_		
	11,802 2,650 9,152	93	Weighted A Pervious A Impervious	Average rea Area		
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slop (ft/fl	e Velocity) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description	
5.0					Direct Entry, Min TC	

Subcatchment P-3: Northeast Area to Inf. Trench then DB

[49] Hint: Tc<2dt may require smaller dt

Runoff = 1.20 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.085 af, Depth> 2.59"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.45"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
8,865	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C
5,824	98	Paved parking & roofs
2,544	70_	Woods, Good, HSG C
17,233	82	Weighted Average
11,409		Pervious Area
5,824		Impervious Area

North Prepar HydroC	ern Aven red by The AD® 8.00	e Berkshi s/n 000752	sing-Act ire Desig 2 © 2006	ive n Group HydroCAD S	Software Soluti	Typ	be III 24-hr 10-Year	Rainfall=4.45" Page 12 3/5/2009
To (min)	Length	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
5.0)				Direct Entry	y, Min TC		
			Subcate	chment P	-4: South E	East area	a to DB	
Runoff	=	2.49 cfs	s@ 12.2	1 hrs, Volu	me=	0.235 af,	Depth> 2.76"	
Runoff Type III	by SCS TI 24-hr 10-	R-20 meth Year Rair	iod, UH=S nfall=4.45'	CS, Time S	Span= 0.00-24	4.00 hrs,	dt= 0.05 hrs	
/	Area (sf)	CN D	escription					
	13,348	74 >7	75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C			
	19,769	98 Pa 70 W	aved park loods Go	ng & roots				
	44,439	84 W	eighted A	verage	<u> </u>			
	24,670	P	ervious Ar	ea				
	19,769	In	npervious	Area				
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
14.7	100	0.0200	0.11		Sheet Flow	,		
0.3	60	0.0233	3.10		Grass: Dens Shallow Co Paved Kv=	se n= 0.2 ncentrate = 20.3 fps	240 P2= 3.00" ed Flow,	
15.0	160	Total						
			Subcato	hment P	5: South W	lest area	a to DB	
[49] Hin	nt: Tc<2dt i	may requi	re smaller	dt				
Runoff	=	1.14 cfs	@ 12.0	7 hrs, Volu	me=	0.083 af,	Depth> 3.35"	

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.45"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description	-		
	4,418	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG C	
	3,440	98	Paved park	ing & roofs		
	4,800	98	Basin			
	240	90	Patio			
	12,898	90	Weighted A	verage		
	4,658		Pervious A	rea		
	8,240		Impervious	Area		
_		<u>.</u>			–	
IC	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
<u>(min)</u>	(teet)	(ft/ft)	(tt/sec)	(cfs)		
5.0					Direct Entry, Min ⊤C	

Northern Avenue Housing-Active

~ ~ -

Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group HydroCAD® 8.00 s/n 000752 © 2006 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Reach E-CP: Control Point-Stream at PL

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Ar	rea =	7.835 ac, Inflow Depth > 1.92	" for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	11.07 cfs @ 12.33 hrs, Volume	= 1.256 af
Outflow	=	11.07 cfs @ 12.33 hrs, Volume	= 1.256 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach P-CP: Control Point -Stream at PL

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Are	ea =	7.835 ac, Inflow Depth > 2.16"	for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	11.01 cfs @ 12.30 hrs, Volume=	1.408 af
Outflow	=	11.01 cfs @ 12.30 hrs, Volume=	1.408 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond DB: Detention Basin

Inflow Are	a =	1.712 ac, Inflow Depth > 2.82"	for 10-Year event	
Inflow	=	4.14 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume=	0.403 af	
Outflow	=	1.50 cfs @ 12.55 hrs, Volume=	0.310 af, Atten= 64%, Lag= 26.0 r	min
Primary	=	1.50 cfs @ 12.55 hrs, Volume=	0.310 af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 90.89' @ 12.55 hrs Surf.Area= 4,887 sf Storage= 7,605 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 177.8 min calculated for 0.310 af (77% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 95.7 min (911.4 - 815.7)

Volume	Invert A	vail.Storage	Stora	age Description
#1	89.00'	11,271 cf	Custo	tom Stage Data (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)
#2	89.00'	94 cf	24.0"	"D x 30.00'L 24" Inlet Pipe (from DMH to Basin) S= 0.0066 '/'
#3	89.20'	352 cf	24.0"	"D x 112.00'L 24" Pipe (from SWTC to DMH) S= 0.0050 '/
#4	89.00'	2,474 cf	36.0"	"D x 70.00'L 36" Lateral Pipe x 5 × 5
#5	89.00'	339 cf	36.0"	"D x 24.00'L 36" Header Pipe x 2 × 2
	-	14,531 cf	Total	Available Storage
				-
Elevation	Surf.Are	a Inc	Store.	e Cum.Store
(feet)	(sq-f	t) (cubi	c-feet)	(cubic-feet)
89.00	2,24	.3	0	0
90.00	2,88	5	2,564	2,564
91.00	3,57	5	3,230	5,794
92.00	4,39	1	3,983	9,777
92.33	4,66	6	1,494	11,271
Northern Avenue Housing-Active

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.45" Page 14

3/5/2009

Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group HydroCAD® 8.00 s/n 000752 © 2006 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	88.90'	12.0" x 18.0' long Culvert CPP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Outlet Invert= $88.75'$ S= 0.0083 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.010 PVC, smooth interior
#2 #3 #4	Device 1 Device 1 Primary	90.20' 89.00' 91.67'	10.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 2.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 6.0' long x 2.0' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 Coef. (English) 2.54 2.61 2.61 2.60 2.66 2.70 2.77 2.89 2.88 2.85 3.07 3.20 3.32

Primary OutFlow Max=1.49 cfs @ 12.55 hrs HW=90.89' (Free Discharge)

-1=Culvert (Passes 1.49 cfs of 4.61 cfs potential flow)

2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 1.35 cfs @ 2.82 fps) **-3=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 0.14 cfs @ 6.46 fps)

-4=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Northern Avenue Housing-Active Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group) Software Solu	Type II	ll 24-hr 1	00-Year	Rainfa	all=6.50" Page 15
Time span=0.00-2 Runoff by SC Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trar	24.00 hrs, dt=0 CS TR-20 method - F	0.05 hrs, 481 nod, UH=SCS Pond routing	points S by Stor-In	d method	,,	<u>5,5,2005</u>
Subcatchment E-1: Existing Conditions Flow	/ Length=570'	Runoff Ar Tc=23.1 min	rea=341,30 CN=74	04 sf Ru Runoff=21	noff Dep 1.00 cfs	oth>3.59" 2.346 af
Subcatchment P-1: West Area to DB Flow	r Length=351'	Runoff Ar Tc=19.8 min	ea=254,93 CN=76	32 sf Ru Runoff=17	noff Dep 7.69 cfs	oth>3.80" 1.854 af
Subcatchment P-2: North Area to Rain Gard	en	Runoff A Tc=5.0 min	Area=11,80 CN=93	02 sf Rui Runoff=1	noff Dep 1.68 cfs	oth>5.67" 0.128 af
Subcatchment P-3: Northeast Area to Inf. Tre	ench then DB	Runoff A Tc=5.0 min	Area=17,23 CN=82	33 sf Rui Runoff=2	noff Dep 2.05 cfs	oth>4.45" 0.147 af
Subcatchment P-4: South East area to DB	w Length=160'	Runoff A Tc=15.0 min	Area=44,43 CN=84	39 sf Rui Runoff=4	noff Dep I.14 cfs	oth>4.66" 0.396 af
Subcatchment P-5: South West area to DB		Runoff A Tc=5.0 min	Area=12,89 CN=90	98 sf Rui Runoff=1	noff Dep .77 cfs	oth>5.33" 0.132 af
Reach E-CP: Control Point-Stream at PL			С	Inflow=21 utflow=21	.00 cfs .00 cfs	2.346 af 2.346 af
Reach P-CP: Control Point -Stream at PL			С	Inflow=20 utflow=20	1.97 cfs 1.97 cfs	2.552 af 2.552 af
Pond DB: Detention Basin	Peak Elev=91	.68' Storage=	11,499 cf	Inflow=6 2=2/2000	i.83 cfs 2.89 cfs	0.674 af 0.570 af

Total Runoff Area = 15.671 ac Runoff Volume = 5.002 af Average Runoff Depth = 3.83" 90.33% Pervious Area = 14.155 ac 9.67% Impervious Area = 1.516 ac

Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group HydroCAD® 8.00 s/n 000752 © 2006 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=6.50" Page 16 3/5/2009

Subcatchment E-1: Existing Conditions

21.00 cfs @ 12.32 hrs, Volume= Runoff 2.346 af, Depth> 3.59" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=6.50"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description					
		96,180	78	8 Wetlands					
		93,521	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG C			
		6,300	98	Paved park	ing & roofs				
_	1	45,303	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C				
	3	41,304	74	Weighted A	verage				
	3	35,004		Pervious A	rea				
		6,300		Impervious	Area				
	-				o				
	, IC	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description			
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft) <u>(ft/sec)</u>	(CfS)				
	6.7	60	0.0200	0.15		Sheet Flow,			
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00"			
	4.8	280	0.037	5 0.97		Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps			
	11.6	230	0.0174	4 0.33		Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
						Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps			
	00 4	570	Total						

23.1 570 Total

Subcatchment P-1: West Area to DB

Runoff 17.69 cfs @ 12.27 hrs, Volume= 1.854 af, Depth> 3.80" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=6.50"

Area (sf)	CN	Description			
96,180	78	Wetlands			
49,740	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C			
16,732	98	Paved parking & roofs			
90,000	70	loods, Good, HSG C			
2,280	90	Patio			
254,932	76	Weighted Average			
238,200		Pervious Area			
16,732		Impervious Area			

Northern Avenue Housing-Active

Northe Prepare HydroCA	rn Aven ed by The D® 8.00	e Berksh s/n 00075	sing-Act ire Desig 2 © 2006 I	ive n Group HydroCAD S	Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rain	nfall=6.50" Page 17 <u>3/5/2009</u>
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description	
8.4	89	0.0250	0.18		Sheet Flow,	
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00"	
1.5	62	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow,	
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps	
9.9	200	0.0180	0.34		Shallow Concentrated Flow,	
					Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps	
19.8	351	Total				

Subcatchment P-2: North Area to Rain Garden

[49] Hint: Tc<2dt may require smaller dt

Runoff = 1.68 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.128 af, Depth> 5.67"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=6.50"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description			
	2,650	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C	
	8,494	98	Paved park	ing & roofs		
	658	98	Basin	_		
	11,802 2,650 9,152	93	Weighted Average Pervious Area Impervious Area			
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft	e Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description	
5.0					Direct Entry, Min TC	

Subcatchment P-3: Northeast Area to Inf. Trench then DB

[49] Hint: Tc<2dt may require smaller dt

Runoff = 2.05 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.147 af, Depth> 4.45"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=6.50"

Area (sf)	CN	Description			
8,865	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C			
5,824	98	aved parking & roofs			
2,544	70	Woods, Good, HSG C			
17,233	82	Weighted Average			
11,409		Pervious Area			
5,824		Impervious Area			

Northern Aver Prepared by Th HydroCAD® 8.00	ue Hou e Berksh <u>s/n 00075</u>	sing-Act ire Desig 2 © 2006	Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall Press Press Software Solutions LLC 3/4	<i>=6.50"</i> age 18 <u>5/2009</u>			
Tc Length (min) (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
5.0				Direct Entry, Min TC			
		Subcate	chment P	-4: South East area to DB			
Runoff =	4.14 cf	s@ 12.2	0 hrs, Volu	me= 0.396 af, Depth> 4.66"			
Runoff by SCS T Type III 24-hr 100	R-20 metl)-Year Ra	nod, UH=S ainfall=6.50	SCS, Time S 0"	Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs			
Area (sf)	CN D	escription					
13,348	74 >	75% Gras	s cover, Go	od, HSG C			
19,769	98 P	aved park	ing & roots				
11,322	<u></u>	Volus, Go					
44,439 24 670	04 V P	envious Ar	werage .ea				
19,769	ı İr	npervious	Area				
,							
Tc Length	Siope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
<u>(min) (feet)</u>	<u>(ft/ft)</u>	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
14.7 100	0.0200	0.11		Sheet Flow,			
0.3 60	0.0233	3.10		Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.00" Shallow Concentrated Flow, Paved Ky= 20.3 fps			
15.0 160	Total		-				
[49] Hint: Tc<2dt	Subcatchment P-5: South West area to DB						

Runoff = 1.77 cfs @ 12.07 hrs, Volume= 0.132 af, Depth> 5.33"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=6.50"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description				
	4,418	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C		
	3,440	98	Paved park	ing & roofs			
	4,800	98	Basin				
	240	90	Patio				
	12,898	90	Weighted Average				
	4,658		Pervious A	rea			
	8,240		Impervious	Area			
Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description		
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft	(ft/sec)	<u>(cfs)</u>			
5.0					Direct Entry, Min TC		

Northern Avenue Housing-Active

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=6.50" Page 19 LLC 3/5/2009

Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group HydroCAD® 8.00 s/n 000752 © 2006 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Reach E-CP: Control Point-Stream at PL

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Area	a =	7.835 ac, 1	nflow Depth	> 3.59"	for 100-Ye	ar eve	ent	
Inflow	=	21.00 cfs @	12.32 hrs,	Volume=	2.34	6 af		
Outflow	=	21.00 cfs @	12.32 hrs,	Volume=	2.34	6 af, 7	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Reach P-CP: Control Point -Stream at PL

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Are	ea =	7.835 ac, Inflow Depth > 3.91"	for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	20.97 cfs @ 12.27 hrs, Volume=	2.552 af
Outflow	=	20.97 cfs @ 12.27 hrs, Volume=	2.552 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Pond DB: Detention Basin

Inflow Are	ea =	1.712 ac, Inflow Depth > 4.72"	for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	6.83 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume=	0.674 af
Outflow	=	2.89 cfs @ 12.49 hrs, Volume=	0.570 af, Atten= 58%, Lag= 22.9 min
Primary	=	2.89 cfs @ 12.49 hrs, Volume=	0.570 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 91.68' @ 12.49 hrs Surf.Area= 4,876 sf Storage= 11,499 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 132.3 min calculated for 0.570 af (85% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 67.9 min (869.5 - 801.6)

Invert /	Avail.Storage	Storag	ge Description
89.00'	11,271 cf	Custo	m Stage Data (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc)
89.00'	94 cf	24.0"E	D x 30.00'L 24" Inlet Pipe (from DMH to Basin) S= 0.0066 '/'
89.20'	352 cf	24.0''E	D x 112.00'L 24" Pipe (from SWTC to DMH) S= 0.0050 '/'
89.00'	2,474 cf	36.0"[D x 70.00'L 36'' Lateral Pipe x 5 × 5
89.00'	339 cf	36.0"	D x 24.00'L 36'' Header Pipe x 2 x 2
	14,531 cf	Total A	Available Storage
Surf.Ar	ea Inc	Store.	Cum.Store
(sq-	<u>-ft) (cubi</u>	c-feet)	(cubic-feet)
2,2	43	0	0
2,8	85	2,564	2,564
3,5	75	3,230	5,794
4,3	91	3,983	9,777
4.6	66	1.494	11.271
	Invert / 89.00' 89.20' 89.00' 89.00' Surf.Ar (sq 2,2 2,8 3,5 4,3 4,6	Invert Avail.Storage 89.00' 11,271 cf 89.00' 94 cf 89.20' 352 cf 89.00' 2,474 cf 89.00' 339 cf 14,531 cf 14,531 cf 2,243 2,885 3,575 4,391 4,666 4,666	Invert Avail.Storage Storage 89.00' 11,271 cf Custo 89.00' 94 cf 24.0"I 89.20' 352 cf 24.0"I 89.00' 2,474 cf 36.0"I 89.00' 339 cf 36.0"I 90.00' 339 cf 36.0"I 91.01 14,531 cf Total Surf.Area Inc.Store (cubic-feet) 2,243 0 2,885 2,564 3,575 3,230 4,391 3,983 4.666 1.494 14,94

Northern Avenue Housing-Active

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=6.50" Page 20 3/5/2009

Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group HydroCAD® 8.00 s/n 000752 © 2006 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	88.90'	12.0" x 18.0' long Culvert CPP, square edge headwall, Ke= 0.500 Outlet Invert= $88.75'$ S= 0.0083 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.010 PVC, smooth interior
#2 #3 #4	Device 1 Device 1 Primary	90.20' 89.00' 91.67'	10.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 2.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 6.0' long x 2.0' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 Coef. (English) 2.54 2.61 2.61 2.60 2.66 2.70 2.77 2.89 2.88 2.85 3.07 3.20 3.32

Primary OutFlow Max=2.88 cfs @ 12.49 hrs HW=91.68' (Free Discharge)

-1=Culvert (Passes 2.87 cfs of 5.71 cfs potential flow) -2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 2.70 cfs @ 4.96 fps) -3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.17 cfs @ 7.75 fps)

-4=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 0.01 cfs @ 0.20 fps)

Northampton, Massachusetts

Stormwater Drainage Report Appendix

Appendix B – Soil Test Pit Information

Appendix





<u>Test Pits</u>

	<u>Test</u> I	<u>Pits</u>					
Performed By M.D'Urso, The Berkshire Design Group Witnessed By:							
Deep Hole Number TP 1	Date: 01/05/	07 Time:	9:15am	Weather	Clear 10 F		
Location (identify on site plan) See Pl	an						
Land Use Lawn Area	Slope (%)	See Plan	Surfac	e Stones	n/o		
Vegetation grass & some trees							
Landform		······					
Position on Landscape (sketch on back)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· <u> </u>		
Distances from: See Plan							
Open Water Body	Fee	et Draina	age way _	<u> </u>	Feet		
Possible Wet Area	Fee	et Prope	rty Line		Feet		
Drinking Water Well	Fee	et Other	_				
					_		
					····		
DEEP OI	BSERVAII	ON HOLE	= LOG "				

Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)
0-9"	A	VFSL	10YR3/3	5Y 4/6 <5%	Massive, Friable, roots
9"-21"	B _w	VFSL	2.5Y4/4	5YR4/6 >10% @18"	Massive, Friable
21"-53"	C ₁	VFSL/ Loam	5Y5/2	5YR4/6 >35%	Massive, friable, stratified FS & Loam, some smearing, somewhat firm
53"-80"	C ₂	SL	10YR4/4	2.5YR3/6 >35% throughout	Massive, friable, sloughing

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

Parent Material (geologic) glaciolacustrine	<u> </u>	Depth to Bedrock: > 80"	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Depth to Groundwater: Standing Water in the Hole:	57" @ 15 minutes	Weeping from Pit Face: _	55"
Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water:18"			

Percolation Test:

Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate:

Test Pits								
Performed By M.D'Urso, The Berkshire Design Group Witnessed By:								
Deep Hole Number TP 2	Date: 01/05/07	Time: 9:40am	_ Weather	Clear 10 F				
Location (identify on site plan) See Pl	an							
Land Use Wooded	Slope (%)Se	<u>e Plan</u> Surfa	ce Stones	n/o				
Vegetation Norway Spruce			··					
Landform								
Position on Landscape (sketch on back))							
Distances from: See Plan								
Open Water Body	Feet	Drainage way		Feet				
Possible Wet Area	Feet	Property Line		Feet				
Drinking Water Well	Feet	Other						

		DEEPO	DBSERVA	TION HOLE	LOG *
Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)
0-12"	A	VFSL	10YR3/3	5Y 4/6 <5%	Massive, Friable, some roots, apparent fill at south end of TP down to 36"
12"-19"	B _w	VFSL	2.5Y4/4	5YR4/6 >10% @18"	Massive, Friable
19"-45"	C ₁	VFSL/ Loam	5Y5/2	5YR4/6 >35%	Massive, friable, some smearing, somewhat firm, excavation collapsed

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

Parent Material (geologic) glaciolacustrine		Depth to Bedrock:	> 45"
Depth to Groundwater: Standing Water in the Hole:	40"	Weeping from	m Pit Face:
Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water: 18"			

Percolation Test: Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate:

<u>Test Pits</u>

Performed By M.D'Urso, The Berksh	ire Design Group	Witness	sed By:			
Deep Hole Number TP 2A	Date: 10/31/0	7 Time:	9:00am	Weather	P-Cloud	ly 40 F
Location (identify on site plan) See F	'lan					
Land Use Wooded	Slope (%)	See Plan	Surfac	e Stones	n/o	
Vegetation Norway Spruce						
Landform	·					
Position on Landscape (sketch on back	<)					
Distances from: See Plan						_
Open Water Body	Feet	Draina	age way			Feet
Possible Wet Area	Feet	Prope	rty Line			Feet
Drinking Water Well	Feet	Other	_			
DEEDO				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		лч пол г				

					204
Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)
0-10"	A	VFSL	10YR3/3	5Y 4/6 <5%	Massive, Friable, some roots
10"-30"	B _w	VFSL	2.5Y4/4	5YR4/6 >10% @22"	Massive, Friable, roots to 21"
30"-70"	C ₁	VFSL/ Loam	5Y5/2	5YR4/6 >35%	Massive, friable, some smearing, somewhat firm, excavation collapsed, fine sand in lower 12"

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

Parent Material (geologic) glaciolacustrine		Depth to Bedrock: > 70"
Depth to Groundwater: Standing Water in the Hole:	66" @ 10 minutes	Weeping from Pit Face:66" (rapid)
Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water: 22"		

Percolation Test: Depth to Perc:

Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate:

			7	est P	<u>its</u>				
Performed By	M.D'Urso	o, The Berks	hire Desig	n Group	Witnes	sed By:			
Deep Hole Nu	mber TF	°3	Date:	01/05/0	7 Time:	10:10am	Weather	Clear 10) F
Location (iden	tify on site	plan) See	Plan						
Land Use W	/ooded		Slop	e (%) _	See Plan	Surface	Stones	n/o	
Vegetation 1	Norway Spr	ruce							
Landform									
Position on La	Indscape (s	ketch on bac	;k)						
Distances from: See Plan Open Water Body			Feet	Draina	age way			Feet	
Poss Drink	sible Wet Ar king Water V	rea Well		⊦eet Feet	Prope Other	erty Line			⊦eet
	.								
DEEP OBSERVATION HOLE LOG *									
Depth from	Soil	Soil Texture	Soil Colo	or I	Soil Mottling	(0)	Othe	er Bewiet	
Surface(Inches)	Horizon	(USDA)	(Munsel	יי ער די		(St	Consistency,	es, Boulders % Gravel)	5,
0-9"	Α	VFSL	10YR3/	/3		M	assive, Fria	able, roots	\$

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

VFSL

VFSL/

Loam

Fine

Sand

Bw

C₁

 C_2

2.5Y4/4

5Y5/2

10YR4/4

Parent Material (geologic) glaciolacustrine	· · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Depth to Bedrock: > 65"
Depth to Groundwater: Standing Water in the Hole:	61"	Weeping from Pit Face: 55"
Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water:		

5YR4/6

>15%

@ 20"

2.5YR3/6

>35% throughout

Percolation Test: Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate:

9"-15"

15"-50"

50"-65"

Note: This test pit was performed for investigation of general soil conditions and should not be used for purposes related to Title 5 and/or soil suitability assessments for on-site sewage disposal.

Massive, Friable, roots

Massive, friable, sloughing,

stratified FSL & Fine Sand

Massive, friable, sloughing

<u>Test Pits</u>

Performed By M.D'Urso, The Berkshire	e Design (Group	Witness	ed By:			
Deep Hole Number TP 3A	Date: 10	0/31/08	Time:	9:30am	Weather	Clear 1	0 F
Location (identify on site plan) See Pla	n	_					
Land Use Wooded	_ Slope (%) <u>See</u>	Plan	Surfac	e Stones _	n/o	
Vegetation Norway Spruce							
Landform							
Position on Landscape (sketch on back)							
Distances from: See Plan							
Open Water Body		Feet	Draina	ge way			Feet
Possible Wet Area		Feet	Proper	ty Line			Feet
Drinking Water Well		Feet	Other				

		DEEP (DBSERVA	TION HOLE	LOG *
Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)
0-7"	A	VFSL	10YR3/3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Massive, Friable, roots
7"-15"	B _w	VFSL	2.5Y4/4		Massive, Friable, roots
15"-70"	C ₁	VFSL/ Loam	5Y5/2	5YR4/6 >15% @ 30"	Massive, friable, roots down to 20", sloughing, stratified FSL & Fine Sand, fine sand at pit bottom, excavation collapsed

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

Parent Material (geologic) glaciolacustrin	1e		Depth to Bedrock: > 70"	
Depth to Groundwater: Standing Water in t	he Hole:	67" @ 5 minutes	Weeping from Pit Face:	64" (moderate)
Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water:	30"			

Percolation Test:

Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate:

	,	<u> Test Pit</u>	S				
Performed By M.D'Urso, The Berks	shire Desi	gn Group	Witness	sed By:			
Deep Hole Number TP 4	Date:	01/05/07	Time:	4:00pm	Weather	Cloudy	10 F
Location (identify on site plan) _See	Plan						
Land Use Wooded	Slo	pe (%) <u>Se</u>	e Plan	Surfac	e Stones	n/o	
Vegetation Norway Spruce	. <u> </u>						
Landform							
Position on Landscape (sketch on ba	ick)						
Distances from: See Plan							
Open Water Body		Feet	Draina	age way			Feet
Possible Wet Area		Feet	Prope	rty Line			Feet
Drinking Water Well		Feet	Other				

DEEP OBSERVATION HOLE LOG *										
Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)					
0-5"	A	VFSL	10YR3/3	5Y 4/6 <5%	Massive, Friable, roots					
5"-15"	B _w	VFSL	2.5Y4/4	5YR4/6 <5% @18"	Massive, Friable, Roots down to 18"					
15"-65"	C ₁	FSL	5Y5/2	5YR4/6 >10% @28"	Massive, friable, stratified FSL & Fine Sand, some smearing, somewhat firm					

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

Parent Material (geologic) glaciolacustrin	ne	····	Depth to Bedrock: > 65"	<u> </u>
Depth to Groundwater: Standing Water in t	the Hole:	51"	Weeping from Pit Face:	48"
Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water:	28"			

Percolation Test: Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate:

<u>Note</u>: This test pit was performed for investigation of general soil conditions and should not be used for purposes related to Title 5 and/or soil suitability assessments for on-site sewage disposal.

.

<u>Test Pits</u>

Performed By	M D'Urse	n The Berkst	nire Design	<u>Group</u>	<u>)</u> Witness	ed By:		
Deep Hole Nu	imber TF	25 25	Date: 0	1/05/07	Time:	3:00pm	Weather	Clear 10 F
Location (ider	ntify on site	plan) See I	 Plan					••
Land Use _L	awn Area	• •	Slope	(%) <u>Se</u>	e Plan	Surface	e Stones	n/o
Vegetation _	grass							
Landform								
Position on La	andscape (s	ketch on bac	:k)					
Distances fror	n: See Pla	n						
Ope	n Water Bo	dy		Feet	Draina	ige way		Feet
Poss	sible Wet Al	rea Woll		Feet	Other	rty Line		Feet
	any water	wen		1661	Ouler			
		DEEP C)BSERV	ATION	HOLE	E LOG *		
Death (see	0-1	0-117-1-1-1		Öri				
Surface(Inches)	Horizon	(USDA)	(Munsell)	50	riviottiing	(Si	ructure, Stone	es, Boulders,
0.0"		VEQ	10VP2/2			M	Consistency,	% Gravel)
0-9	A .	VFOL	10113/3	1		1	assive, rna	ible, foots
Q"_21"	B	VESI	2 5 1/1				Massivo	Friable
9-21	Dw	VIGL	2.014/4				wassive,	Tiable
				-				
04 1 4 0 71	-							
21"-107"	G ₁		515/2	>10	1 H4/6 % @60"	Massi	ve, triable,	NO CODDIES OF
		Louin			<i>,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Sa	and, some :	smearing,
* MINIMUM OF	2 HOLES R	EQUIRED AT	EVERY PRO	POSED DI	SPOSAL	AREA		
Parent Material (a	eologic) al	nciolacuetrino			De	onth to Bedrock	·· > 107"	
Depth to Groundw	(ater: Standin	a Water in the H	ole: 92"	······	De	Weeping	from Pit Face	• 02"
Estimated Seasor	al High Grour	nd Water: 60"		× ×		trooping		
Estimated Ocasor	ar ngn aroar	<u> </u>						
Percolation Te	<u>st:</u>				NT :			
Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak	45" 1 2": 3	:14			<u>Note</u> : investig	This test gation of ge	pit was j neral soil	conditions and

 Start Pre-Soak
 12":
 3:14

 End Pre-Soak
 12":
 3:36 (8 gals used)

 Time at 12":
 3:36

 Time at 9":
 3:42

 Time at 6":
 4:00

 Time (9"-6"):
 18 minutes

 Rate:
 6 min/inch

<u>Test Pits</u>

Performed By	M.D'Urs	o, The Berksh	nire Desigr	n Group	Witness	sed By:			
Deep Hole Nu	imber TF	P 6	Date:	01/05/07	Time:	11:10am	Weather	Clear 10) F
Location (iden	tify on site	plan) See F	Plan						
Land Use <u>W</u>	looded		Slope	e (%) <u>Se</u>	e Plan	Surfac	e Stones	n/o	
Vegetation _	Mixed decid	duous and ev	ergreen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>			
Landform			· <u> </u>						
Position on La	Indscape (s	sketch on bac	k)						
Distances from	n: See Pla	n							
Oper	n Water Bo	dy		_ Feet	Draina	age way _			Feet
Poss	sible Wet Al	rea	<u></u>	Feet	Othor	rty Line			Feet
Dillin	any water	weii			Other				
		DEEP C	BSER	VATION	I HOLE	E LOG *			
Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Horizon	(USDA)	(Munsell)	r So	I Mottling	(Si	Othe tructure, Ston	er es, Boulders	5,
0.8"	Λ	Forest	10783/				Consistency,	<u>% Gravel)</u>	
0-0	A	Mat	10110/				455IVE, FI16	able, root	>
8"-29"	Bw	VFSL	2.5Y4/4		•••••••••		Massive,	Friable	
-							•		
29"-88"	C ₁	FSL	5Y5/2	5YR4	/6 Disting	ct Mass	ive, friable,	stratified	FSL
					>5%	and Fir	ne Sand, ro	ots throug	ghout,
				(@38"	ļ	slough	ing	

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

Parent Material (geologic) Glaciolacustrine	·	Depth to Bedrock: > 88"
Depth to Groundwater: Standing Water in the Ho	e: <u>79</u> "	Weeping from Pit Face: (slow)
Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water:38"		······································

Percolation Test: Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate:

<u>Test Pits</u>

Performed By M.D'Urso, The Berksh	ire Design Group	Witnessed By:		
Deep Hole Number TP 7	Date: 01/05/07	Time: 11:45am	Weather	Clear 10 F
Location (identify on site plan) See F	lan			
Land Use Wooded	Slope (%)	ee Plan Surfac	e Stones	n/o
Vegetation Mixed deciduous and eve	ergreen			
Landform				
Position on Landscape (sketch on back	<)			<u></u>
Distances from: <i>See Plan</i> Open Water Body Possible Wet Area Drinking Water Well	Feet Feet Feet	Drainage way Property Line Other		Feet Feet
DEEP O	BSERVATIO	N HOLE LOG *		

Depth from	Soil	Soil Texture	Soil Color	Soil Mottling	Öther
Surface(Inches)	Horizon	(USDA)	(Munsell)		(Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)
0-8"	А	Forest Mat	10YR3/3		Massive, Friable, roots
8"-19"	B _w	VFSL	2.5Y4/4		Massive, Friable
19"-90"	C ₁	FSL	2.5Y5/3	5YR4/6 Distinct >5% @58"	Massive, friable, stratified FSL and Fine Sand, roots down to 31", sloughing

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

 Parent Material (geologic)
 Glaciolacustrine
 Depth to Bedrock: > 90"

 Depth to Groundwater:
 Standing Water in the Hole:
 84"
 Weeping from Pit Face:
 84" (slow)

 Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water:
 58"
 58"
 58"
 58"

Percolation Test:

ſ

Depth to Perc:	46″	
Start Pre-Soak	12":	12:18
End Pre-Soak	12":	12:33 (18 gals used)
Time at 12":		12:33
Time at 9":		12:36
Time at 6":		12:41
Time (9"-6"):		5 minutes
Rate:		< 2 min/inch

	_ocation Address or Lot No. No	rthern Avenue, Northamp	oton, MA
--	--------------------------------	-------------------------	----------

	, 	<u>Test Pit</u>	<u>s</u>				
Performed By M.D'Urso, The Berksh	ire Desig	gn Group	Witness	ed By:			
Deep Hole Number TP 8	Date:	01/05/07	Time:	1:45pm	Weather	P-cloud	ly 10 F
Location (identify on site plan) See P	lan						
Land Use Old roadway	Slop	be (%) <u>Se</u>	e Plan	Surfac	e Stones	n/o	
Vegetation Mixed deciduous and eve	rgreen	·					
Landform					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Position on Landscape (sketch on back	<)					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Distances from: <i>See Plan</i> Open Water Body Possible Wet Area		Feet Feet	Draina Prope Other	age way rty Line			Feet Feet
			Ciner				

		DEEP C	DBSERVA	ATION HOLE L	-OG *		
Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Soil Tex Horizon (USD/	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)		
0-15"	Fill				Roadway bed, strong staining at fill/C1 interface (variegated colors)		
15"-95"	C ₁	VFSL	2.5Y4/4	5YR4/6 Distinct >5% @60"	Massive, Friable, stratified FSL and Fine Sand		

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

 Parent Material (geologic)
 Glaciolacustrine
 Depth to Bedrock: > 108"

 Depth to Groundwater:
 Standing Water in the Hole:
 90"
 Weeping from Pit Face:
 69" (slow)

 Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water:
 60"
 60"
 60"
 60"

Percolation Test:

Depth to Perc:	49″	
Start Pre-Soak	12":	2:10
End Pre-Soak	12":	2:25 (10 gals used)
Time at 12":		2:25
Time at 9":		2:30
Time at 6":		2:36
Time (9"-6"):		6 minutes
Rate:		2 min/inch

Test Pits	
-----------	--

B (18		- The Device	<u>Ie</u>	<u>SI PIL</u>	<u>5</u> 			
Performed By	M.D.Urs	o, The Berksi Da	nire Design (Date: 01	3roup 1/05/07	Time	1.20nm	Weather	P-cloudy 10 F
Leep noie No				1,00,01	· · · · · ·		-	
Location (iden	illing on sile	pian) <u>See</u> i	Slope (o Plan	Surfac	o Stones	
Land Use U	via roadway			76) <u>Se</u>	e Flan	Sunac	e Stones _	1/0
Landform		uous and ev	ergreen	· · · · ·	···			
Position on La	andscape (s	sketch on bac	:k)					
Distances from	n: See Pla	n						
Oper	n Water Bo	dy		Feet	Draina	age way	· ·	Feet
Poss	king Water	rea Well		Feet	Other	ny Line		
Brin	ang trator				Culor	_	<u>_</u>	
		DEEPC	DRSERV	ATION	HOLE	= LOG *		Ì
Depth from	Soil	Soil Texture	Soil Color	Soi	Mottling		Othe	er
Surface(Inches)	Horizon	(USDA)	(Munsell)			(S	tructure, Stone Consistency.	es, Boulders, % Gravel)
0-42"	Fill					Silty sa	and, debris,	concrete, brick
					-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	2							
	2 HOLES B				ISPOSAL			
	2 HOLLO H	LOUINEDAT		OOLD D				
Parent Material (g	eologic)				De	epth to Bedroo	k: <u>>42"</u>	
Depth to Groundw	vater: Standir	ng Water in the H	iole: <u>36"</u>			Weeping	from Pit Face	
Estimated Seasor	nal High Groui	nd Water: 36				<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>	<u> </u>	
Percolation Te	<u>st:</u>							
Depth to Perc:	109				Note:	This test	pit was	performed for
Start Pre-Soak	12": 12"'				should	ation of g	eneral soil d for nurn	conditions and poses related to
Time at 12":					Title 5	and/or soil	suitability	assessments for
Time at 9":					on-site	sewage disp	osal.	
Time at 6": Time (0".6").								
Rate:								

.

			Te	st Pit.	5			
Performed By	M.D'Urs	o, The Berksh	nire Design G	Group	Witness	sed By:		
Deep Hole Nu	mber TF	P 10	Date: 01	/05/07	Time:	1:30pm	Weather	P-cloudy 10 F
Location (iden	tify on site	plan) See F	Plan					
Land Use w	ooded		Slope ('	%) <u>Se</u>	e Plan	Surfac	e Stones	n/o
Vegetation _	Mixed decid	duous and eve	ergreen				_	
Landform								
Position on La	ndscape (s	sketch on bac	k)				·	
Distances from	n: See Pla	n						_
Oper	Nater Bo	dy		Feet	Draina	age way		Feet
Drink	king Water	well		Feet	Other			Feel
			<u></u>					
		DEEPC	BSERV	ATION	HULE	ELUG		
Depth from	Soil	Soil Texture	Soil Color	Soi	I Mottling		Othe	ir Pauldara
Surface(Incries)	Horizon	(USDA)				(2	Consistency,	% Gravel)
0-65"	Fill					Silty s	and, debris,	concrete, brick
			_,					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	<u> </u>			-				
				[
* MINIMUM OF	2 HOLES R		EVERY PROP	POSED D	SPOSAL	AREA		
Parent Material (g	eologic)				De	oth to Bedroo	sk: >65"	
Depth to Groundw	ater: Standin	g Water in the H	ole: 60"			Weeping	from Pit Face	: 58"
Estimated Season	al High Grour	- nd Water: <u>58</u> "						·
Domoolation To								
Depth to Perc:	<u>st.</u>				Note:	This test	pit was	performed for

Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate: <u>Note</u>: This test pit was performed for investigation of general soil conditions and should not be used for purposes related to Title 5 and/or soil suitability assessments for on-site sewage disposal.

.

<u>Test Pits</u>

Performed By	M.D'Urso	o, The Berksh	hire Desig	in Group	Witnes	sed By:			
Deep Hole Nu	mber TF	P 11	Date:	01/06/07	Time:	9:00am	Weather	P-cloud	ly 10 F
Location (iden	tify on site	plan) <u>See I</u>	Plan	. .				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Land Use w	ooded		Slop	e (%) <u>Se</u>	e Plan	Surfac	e Stones	n/o	
Vegetation	Mixed decid	uous and ev	ergreen						
Landform									
Position on La	Indscape (s	ketch on bac	k)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Distances from: <i>See Plan</i> Open Water Body Possible Wet Area Drinking Water Well			Feet Feet Feet	Drain Prope Other	age way erty Line			Feet Feet	
		DEEPC	BSER	VATION	I HOLI	E LOG *			
Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Cold (Munsel	or So I)	il Mottling	(S	Othe tructure, Ston Consistency	er les, Boulders % Gravel)	s,

 25"-105"
 Fill2
 FSL
 Drk. Brwn
 10% cobbles and gravel, some glass and debris @ 100"

 100
 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 100
 100
 100

 <

Brwn

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

FSL

Fill₁

Parent Material (geologic)		Depth to Bedrock: > 105"				
Depth to Groundwater: Standing Water in the Hole:	96"	Weeping from Pit Face: (rapid)				
Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water:						
Percolation Test:						

Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate:

0-25"

<u>Note</u>: This test pit was performed for investigation of general soil conditions and should not be used for purposes related to Title 5 and/or soil suitability assessments for on-site sewage disposal.

Massive, Friable, roots

Test	<u>Pits</u>
------	-------------

Performed By	M.D'Urse	o, The Berks	hire Design G	roup	Witness	ed By:		
Deep Hole Nu	mber TF	° 12	Date: 01/	06/07	Time:	9:00am	Weather	P-cloudy 10 F
Location (iden	ntify on site	plan) <u>See</u>	Plan					
Land Use _w	ooded		Slope (%	6) <u>See</u>	e Plan	Surfac	e Stones	n/o
Vegetation Mixed deciduous and evergreen								
Landform								
Position on La	Indscape (s	ketch on ba	ck)					
Distances from: See Plan Open Water Body Possible Wet Area Drinking Water Well DEER ORSERVATION HOLE LOG *								
			0002.007					
Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil	Mottling	(S	Othe tructure, Ston Consistency,	er es, Boulders, % Gravel)
0-36"	Fill	FSL	Brwn			Loos	e, Friable, asph	some stones, alt
36"-46"	Ap/Bw	FSL	Drk. Brwn/ Brown			10% o gla	cobbles and lss and deb	d gravel, some oris @ 100"

46"-64"	C ₁	FSL	5Y5/2	5YR4/6 Distinct >5% @38"	Massive, friable, stratified FSL and Fine Sand, roots throughout, sloughing	

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

 Parent Material (geologic)
 Depth to Bedrock: > 64"

 Depth to Groundwater: Standing Water in the Hole: 55"
 Weeping from Pit Face: 50"

Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water:

Percolation Test:

Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate:

<u>Test Pits</u>

Performed By M.D'Urso, The	Berkshire Desig	gn Group	Witnes	sed By:			
Deep Hole Number TP 13	Date:	10/31/07	_ Time:	11:50am	Weather	P-Cloud	dy 45 F
Location (identify on site plan)	See Plan						
Land Use Wooded	Slop	be (%) <u>S</u>	ee Plan	Surfac	e Stones	n/o	
Vegetation Mixed deciduous a	and evergreen						
Landform				···· ·····			
Position on Landscape (sketch	on back)						
Distances from: See Plan							
Open Water Body		Feet	Draina	age way			Feet
Possible Wet Area		Feet	Prope	rty Line			Feet
Drinking Water Well		Feet	Other				

	DEEP OBSERVATION HOLE LOG *							
Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)			
0-4"	A	FSL			Topsoil, Grass, roots			
4"-15"	B _w	VFSL	2.5Y4/4		Massive, Friable			
15"-68"	C ₁	LS	2.5Y5/3	5YR4/6 Distinct >5% @54"	Massive, friable, some stratified FSL and Fine Sand, roots down to 24", sloughing			
68"-108"	C ₂	LS	5Y4/2	7.5YR5/6 >10% throughout	Mass, firm, some smearing			
108"-114"	C ₃	SL	5Y4/2	7.5YR5/6 >10% throughout	Mass, firm, smearing, wet, pockets of loam			

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

Parent Material (geologic) _ Glaciolacustri	ine	Depth to Bedrock: > 114"	
Depth to Groundwater: Standing Water in t	the Hole:	n/o Weeping from Pit Face:	_
Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water:	54"		

Percolation Test: Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate:

			<u>lest Pit</u>	<u>S</u>				
Performed By M.D	'Urso, The Berk	shire Desi	gn Group	Witness	ed By:			
Deep Hole Number	TP 14	Date:	10/31/07	Time:	10:45am	Weather	P-Cloud	Jy 45 F
Location (identify on	site plan) See	Plan		-				
Land Use _ Wooded		Slop	be (%) <u>Se</u>	e Plan	Surface	e Stones	n/o	
Vegetation <u>Mixed</u>	deciduous and e	vergreen			· · · · · · · · ·			
Landform					<u> </u>	<u>.</u>		
Position on Landscap	pe (sketch on ba	ack)						
Distances from: See	e Plan r Body		Foot	Drains				Foot
Possible Wet Area			Feet	Prope	rty Line	······································		Feet
			1 661	Outer				

-

DEEP OBSERVATION HOLE LOG *							
Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)		
0-8"	A	Forest Mat	10YR3/3		Massive, Friable, roots		
8"-19"	Bw	VFSL	2.5Y4/4		Massive, Friable		
19"-87"	C ₁	FLS	2.5Y5/3	5YR4/6 Distinct >5% @75"	Massive, friable, some stratified FSL and Fine Sand, roots down to 24", sloughing		
87-112"	C ₂	FLS	5Y4/2	7.5YR5/6 >10% (from excavator bucket)	Mass, firmer than C1, Wet, smearing		

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

 Parent Material (geologic)
 Glaciolacustrine
 Depth to Bedrock: > 112"

 Depth to Groundwater:
 Standing Water in the Hole:
 n/o
 Weeping from Pit Face:
 n/o

 Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water:
 75"
 The standard seasonal High Ground Water:
 75"

Percolation Test:

Depth to Perc:	42″	
Start Pre-Soak	12":	11:01
End Pre-Soak	12":	11:16 (18 gals used)
Time at 12":		11:16
Time at 9":		11:18
Time at 6":		11:21
Time (9"-6"):		3 minutes
Rate:		< 2 min/inch

	<u>Test Pi</u>	<u>ts</u>		
Performed By M.D'Urso, The Berkshire	Design Group	Witnessed By:		
Deep Hole Number TP 15	Date: 10/31/07	Time:1:00pm	Weather	P-Cloudy 45 F
Location (identify on site plan) See Pla	n			
Land Use Wooded	_ Slope (%) _S	ee Plan Surfa	ce Stones	n/o
Vegetation Mixed deciduous and everg	reen			
Landform				
Position on Landscape (sketch on back)				
Distances from: <i>See Plan</i> Open Water Body Possible Wet Area Drinking Water Well	Feet Feet Feet	Drainage way Property Line Other		Feet

DEEP OBSERVATION HOLE LOG *								
Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)			
0-20"	Fill				Massive, Friable, roots			
20"-64"	C1	FLS	2.5Y5/3	5YR4/6 Distinct >5% @68"	Massive, friable, some stratified FSL and Fine Sand, roots down to 24", sloughing			
64"-106"	C ₂	FLS	5Y4/2	7.5YR5/6 >10% (from excavator bucket)	Mass, firmer than C1, Wet, smearing			

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

Parent Material (geologic) Glaciolacustrine	Depth to Bedrock: > 106"	
Depth to Groundwater: Standing Water in the Hole:	n/o Weeping from Pit Face:	
Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water: 68"		

Percolation Test:

Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate:

	Test l	<u>Pits</u>			
Performed By M.D'Urso, The Berks	hire Design Grou	p Witness	sed By:		
Deep Hole Number TP 16	Date: 10/31/0)7 Time:	12:50am	Weather	P-Cloudy 45 F
Location (identify on site plan) _See	Plan	· · ·			
Land Use Wooded	Slope (%)	See Plan	Surfac	e Stones	n/o
Vegetation Mixed deciduous and e	vergreen				
Landform					
Position on Landscape (sketch on ba	ck)				
Distances from: See Plan	Faa	t Drain			Foot
Possible Wet Area	Fee	t Prope	rty Line		Feet
Drinking Water Well	Fee	t Other			

	DEEP OBSERVATION HOLE LOG *								
Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)				
0-31"	Fill				Fill over 2" thick macadam layer @ 31"				
31"-50"	C ₁	FSL	10YR5/8		Massive, friable, some stratified FSL and Fine Sand, roots down to 24", sloughing				
50"- 90 "	C ₂	LS	5Y4/2	7.5YR5/6 >10% throughout	Mass, firm, some smearing				

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

Parent Material (geologic)	Glaciolacustrine		Depth to Bedrock:	> 90"	
Depth to Groundwater: Star	ding Water in the Hole:	n/o	Weeping fro	m Pit Face:	n/o

Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water: _50"_____

Percolation Test: Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate:

<u>Test Pits</u>

Performed By M.D'Urso, The Berkshi	ire Desi	gn Grou	р	Witness	ed By:			
Deep Hole Number TP 17	Date:	10/31/	07	Time:	1:30pm	Weather	P-Clou	dy 45 F
Location (identify on site plan) See P	lan					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	
Land Use Wooded	Slo	pe (%)	Se	e Plan	Surfac	e Stones	n/o	
Vegetation Mixed deciduous and eve	rgreen						····	
Landform								
Position on Landscape (sketch on back	()							
Distances from: See Plan								
Open Water Body		Fee	et	Draina	ige way _			_ Feet
Possible Wet Area		Fee	et	Prope	rty Line			Feet
Drinking Water Well		Fee	et	Other				
DEEP O	BSEF	RVAT	ON	HOLE	LOG *			

Depth from Surface(Inches)	Soil Horizon	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Color (Munsell)	Soil Mottling	Other (Structure, Stones, Boulders, Consistency, % Gravel)
0-46"	Fill	Silty Sand			Few bricks, cobbles, plastic, roots (new growth)
46"-59"	C ₁	LS	2.5Y5/3	5YR4/6 Distinct >10% throughout	Massive, friable, some stratified FSL and Fine Sand, roots down to 24", sloughing
59"-108"	C ₂	SL	5Y4/2	7.5YR5/6 >35% throughout	Massive, firm, some pockets of loam, smearing, wet @ bottom

* MINIMUM OF 2 HOLES REQUIRED AT EVERY PROPOSED DISPOSAL AREA

Parent Material (geologic) Glaciolacustrine		Depth to Bedrock:	> 108"
Depth to Groundwater: Stariding Water in the Hol	e: 6" @ 30 minutes	Weeping from	n Pit Face: 95"
Estimated Seasonal High Ground Water: 54"			

Percolation Test: Depth to Perc: Start Pre-Soak 12": End Pre-Soak 12": Time at 12": Time at 9": Time at 6": Time (9"-6"): Rate:

Northampton, Massachusetts

Stormwater Drainage Report Appendix

<u>Appendix C – TSS Removal Summary</u>

The Berkshire Design Group, Inc.

Appendix



INSTRUCTIONS:

1. In BMP Column, click on Blue Cell to Activate Drop Down Menu

2. Select BMP from Drop Down Menu

3. After BMP is selected, TSS Removal and other Columns are automatically completed.



Version 1. Automated: Mar. 4. 2008

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. In BMP Column, click on Blue Cell to Activate Drop Down Menu 2. Select BMP from Drop Down Menu

3. After BMP is selected, TSS Removal and other Columns are automatically completed.

	Location:	Treatment Train 2 for P-3 ar				
	В	C TSS Bemoval	D Starting TSS	E	F Bemaining	
	BMP ¹	Rate ¹	Load*	Removed (C*D)	Load (D-E)	
heet	Deep Sump and Hooded Catch Basin	0.25	1.00	0.25	0.75	
Removal ion Works	Proprietary Treatment Practice	0.77	0.75	0.58	0.17	
	0.00		0.17	0.00	0.17	
TSS		0.00	0.17	0.00	0.17	
Cal		0.00	0.17	0.00	0.17	
		Total 1	83%	Separate Form Needs to be Completed for Each Outlet or BMP Train		
·	Project:	North Street Condominiums, Northampton, MA		-		
Prepared By: Brian Darnold				*Equals remaining load from previous BMP (E)		
Non-automat	ed TSS Calculation Sheet	2/19/2009]	which enters the BMP		
must be used 1. From Mas	d if Proprietary BMP Proposed sDEP Stormwater Handbook Vol. 1			Ma	ss. Dept. of Environmental Protection	

Version 1, Automated: Mar. 4, 2008

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. In BMP Column, click on Blue Cell to Activate Drop Down Menu

2. Select BMP from Drop Down Menu

3. After BMP is selected, TSS Removal and other Columns are automatically completed.



Version 1, Automated: Mar. 4, 2008


Landscape Architecture Civil Engineering Planning Urban Design Environmental Services

JOB North Street Condo	MINIUMS
SHEET NUMBER 2	OF_3
CALCULATED BY BCD	DATE 2/19/09
CHECKED BY	DATE
SCALE	

4 Allen Place Northampton, Massachusetts 01060

Dry Well 3 Imp Areatosystem= 1732 gf R Wav = (0.5in) (15+ 12in) (17325+) = 72 cF Volume provided = 100 cf VOKAY

ky Well 71 $T_{mp} \text{ Area to system} = 765 \text{ st}$ $R_{uov} = (0.5in) \left(\frac{151}{12in}\right) (765 \text{ st}) = 32 \text{ cf}$ Volume provided = 32cf VOKAY



Landscape Architecture Civil Engineering Planning Urban Design Environmental Services

Condominiums JOB SHEET NUMBER OF CALCULATED BY BLT DATE 2 19/09 CHECKED BY DATE SCALE

4 Allen Place Northampton, Massachusetts 01060



Imp Area tosystem = 1900 sf Rulan= (0.5in) (1+100st) = 80 cf Volumeprovided = 90cF VOKAY

Ste Net TSS Removal Check Treatment Chain 1 (Rein Garden) = 90% TSS Removal Impervious Amen = 8,494 sF Treatment Chain Z (Deepsimp handed (B-> STC 1200) = 82%TSS Impervices Avea = 25,593 sf Treatment Chain 3(8Dry Wells) = 80% TSS Removal Imporvious Area = 11,834st Treatment (hain 4 No treatment) = 0% TSS Romoval Imporving Anen = 2038sf Total New Inversions Area = 47,9595F TC1 TC2 TC3 (8,494+ 0.90)+ (25,593++ * 0.83)+ (11,834++ 0.80)+ (2058++0.00) Not TSS Removed = 47,959 54 = 0.80 = 80% TSS Removed VOKAY



Northern Avenue Housing-Active

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=6.50" Page 2 s LLC 2/25/2009

Prepared by The Berkshire Design Group HydroCAD® 8.00 s/n 000752 © 2006 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Pond RG: Rain Garden

[43] Hint: Has no inflow (Outflow=Zero)

Routing by Stor-Ind method Peak Elev= 0.00' @ 0.00 hrs Surf.Area= 0 sf Storage= 0 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated) Center-of-Mass det. time= (not calculated)

Volume	_ Invert	nvert Avail.		Storage Description		
#1	96.50'		403 cf	Custom	Stage Data (Prisma	tic) Listed below (Recalc)
Elevation (feet)	Surf (.Area sq-ft)	Inc (cubic	.Store c-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
96.50		380	•	0	0	
97.00		595		244	244	
97.20		658		125	369 🦛	
97.25		696		34	403	



Stormwater Technology: Stormceptor

(Hydro Conduit, formerly CSR New England Pipe)

Revised February 2003

The Stormceptor Fact Sheet is one in a series of fact sheets for stormwater technologies and related performance evaluations, which are undertaken by the Massachusetts STrategic Envirotechnology Partnership (STEP).

A summary of the STEP evaluation entitled, *Technology Assessment, Stormceptor CSR New England Pipe*, January 1998 is provided in this fact sheet. When a more thorough understanding of a system is required, the full *Technology Assessment* should be reviewed. Copies are available for downloading from the STEP Web site (www.stepsite.org/progress/reports) or by contacting the STEP Program (Phone: 617/626/1197, FAX: 617/626/1180, email: linda.benevides@state.ma.us). The information in this fact sheet is subject to future updates as additional performance information becomes available.

Description/Definition

Stormceptor is a prefabricated, underground unit that separates oils, grease, and sediment from stormwater runoff when installed with an existing or new pipe conveyance system. The unit is divided into two chambers-a treatment and a flow bypass chamber. During typical storm events, runoff is directed by the inflow weir through a drop pipe into the lower treatment chamber where sediment, oil, and grease are separated from the flow by gravity. The bypass chamber is designed to convey excess stormwater, which overtops the inflow weir, through the system without treatment.

Equipment and Sizing

The on-line Stormceptor units are available in eight sizes ranging from six and twelve feet in diameter with capacities of 900 to 7200 gallons. Since issuing the STEP assessment in 1998, the manufacturer has expanded the Stormceptor product line to include a storm drain inlet (STC 450i) and three units (Models STC 11000, STC 13000, and STC16000). These systems are not included in the STEP evaluation. Users and decision-makers may require additional field test results and new data for these new systems in order to accept performance ratings, particularly if they are higher than those reported in the STEP technology assessment and this fact sheet.

Stormceptor units are available in either precast concrete or fiberglass for special applications. Concrete units are pre-engineered for HS-20 min, traffic loading at the surface. Fiberglass units can be used in areas where





Figure 1. Stormceptor operation during average flow conditions.

there is a potential for oil and chemical spills.

Performance/Effectiveness

The system is designed to provide separation of sediment, oil, and grease from stormwater by routing runoff into a low-turbulence environment where solids settle and oils float out of solution. The system sizing is based on the drainage area, historical rainfall data, and



the solids removal efficiency required. It is recommended that the system be used in combination with other stormwater controls to conform with the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Policy and standards.

An Imperial Model STC 2000 (equivalent to the Model STC 2400) in Edmonton, Canada treats flow from a 9.8 acre commercial parking lot. This system was monitored during four storm events in 1996 and shown to have an average total suspended solids (TSS) removal efficiency of 52 percent. In designing a system to achieve a comparable removal efficiency, the relationship between system size and impervious drainage area should be considered, as detailed in Table 1 and the Technology Assessment Report.

A Model STC 1200 in Westwood, Massachusetts treats flow from 0.65 acres consisting of a paved truck loading area at a manufacturing facility. The unit was monitored for six storm events in 1997, but only four events had measurable TSS influent concentrations. Of these four events, the average TSS removal efficiency was calculated to be 77 percent, which is less than the 80 percent removal targeted by the manufacturer.

Based on these field monitoring results, and when the unit sizing follows the guidance in Table 1, removal efficiencies between 52 percent and 77 percent may be achieved where installations have similar rainfall and land use characteristics as those reviewed for the STEP evaluation. It is recommended that additional field research and new data be evaluated to validate performance ratings higher than those verified by STEP.

Stormceptor	Maximum Imper	vious Area (acre
Model Number	77% TSS remov	al 52% TSS remo
STC 900	0.45	0.9
STC 1200	0.7	1.45
STC 1800	1.25	2.55
STC 2400	1.65	3.35
STC 3600	2.6	5.3
STC 4800	3.6	7.25
STC 6000	4.6	9.25
STC 7200	5.55	11.25

Table 1: Adapted from the Stormceptorsizing for TSS removal in the STEP

Technology Assessment. Notes: 1) On some sites, the maximum impervious area may need to be reduced to achieve these TSS removal rates. 2) The terms "critical area sizing" (to achieve 77 percent TSS removal) and "treatment train sizing" (for 52 percent removal) are no longer used by the manufacturer, but unit sizing is still applicable.

Specific performance claims for oil and grease were not evaluated by STEP. However, total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) were analyzed during the Westwood study. Results indicated that the unit was effective in capturing oils.

Technology Status

The Stormceptor system provides greater solids separation and higher TSS removal efficiencies than oil and grit separators. Stormceptor systems are among the category of hydrodynamic separators, which are flowthrough devices with the capacity to settle or separate grit, oil, sediment, or other pollutants from stormwater. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Hydrodynamic separators are most effective where the materials to be removed from runoff are heavy particulates - which can be settled - or floatables - which can be captured, rather than solids with poor settleability or dissolved pollutants."

Although Stormceptor appears to remove sediment, grit, oil, and grease as claimed by the manufacturer, additional research is needed to determine how much sediment moves through the system untreated. The field studies evaluated for the STEP assessment predate the Stormwater Best Management Practice Demonstration Tier II Protocol (2001), which is applicable in Massachusetts and other states in the Technology Acceptance Reciprocity Partnership (TARP), to ensure quality controlled studies that can be shared among participating states. Therefore, interstate reciprocity is not available to the manufacturer, based on performance claims that were evaluated by STEP in 1998. If the TARP Protocol requirements are fulfilled in the future, the manufacturer could pursue reciprocal verification for Stormceptor systems in participating TARP states. More information on the TARP Protocol is available on the following Web site: www.dep.state.pa.us/dep/deputate/pollprev/ techservices/tarp.

Applications/Advantages

- Stormceptor systems identified in Table 1 should be used in combination with other BMPs to remove 80 percent of the average annual load of TSS (DEP Stormwater Policy Standard 4). Systems may be well suited for pretreatment in a mixed component system designed for stormwater recharge.
- Performance data show that Stormceptor may provide TSS removal rates in the range of 52 percent to 77 percent when sized according to Table 1. Higher TSS removal rates were achieved during low flow, low intensity storms with less than one third of an inch of runoff. Also, by reducing the impervious drainage area,

relative to the system size, the STEP Technology Assessment Report indicated that higher removal efficiencies may be achievable. However, STEP recommends collection of additional data "representing a varied set of operating conditions over a realistic maintenance cycle to verify TSS removal rates greater than 80 percent."

- The Stormceptor system is suitable for new and retrofit applications. For retrofit applications, it should not take the place of a catch basin for the systems that have been verified. Also, for retrofit applications, it should be installed in lateral lines and not main trunk lines.
- The system is particularly well suited in constricted areas and where space is limited.
- It also is suitable for use in areas of high potential pollutant loads (DEP Stormwater Policy Standard 5), where it may be used effectively in capturing and containing oil and chemical spills. Web site: www.state.ma.us/dep/ brp/stormwtr/stormpub.htm.

Considerations/Limitations

- Systems are not expected to provide significant nutrient (nitrogen and phosphorus) or fecal coliform removal.
- The systems are not recommended for use in critical areas, such as public drinking water supplies, certified vernal pools, public swimming beaches, shellfish growing areas, cold water fisheries, and some Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs), except as a pretreatment device for BMPs that have been approved by DEP for use in critical areas. The structural BMPs approved for use in critical areas are described in Standard 6 of the Stormwater Management Policy, www.state.ma.us/dep/brp/stormwtr/stormpub.htm.
- There is a limited set of useful data for predicting the relationship between treatment efficiency and loading rates. Removal efficiencies have not been demonstrated for all unit sizes.
- Further research is needed to determine how much TSS bypasses the treatment chamber during certain, higher velocity storm events which recur less frequently.
- Systems require regular maintenance to minimize the potential for washout of the accumulated sediments.

Reliability/Maintenance

All BMPs require scheduled, routine maintenance to ensure that they operate as efficiently as possible. Although maintenance requirements are site specific, a general relationship between cleaning needs and depths of sediment has been established by the manufacturer. Inspection of the Stormceptor interior should be done after major storm events, particularly in the first year of operation. It is recommended that material in the treatment chamber be pumped out by a vacuum truck semiannually, or when the sediment and pollutant loads reach about 15 percent of the total storage. If the unit is used for spill containment, it should be pumped after the event is contained. Typical cleaning costs were estimated by the manufacturer in 1998 to be \$250, with disposal costs averaging \$300 to \$500. The expected life of a system has been estimated to be 50 to 100 years.

Sediment Depths Indicating Required Maintenan			
Model Number	Sediment Depth (feet)		
STC 900	0.5		
STC 1200	0.75		
STC 1800	1		
STC 2400	1		
STC 3600	1.25		
STC 4800	1		
STC 6000	1.5		
STC 7200	1.25		

Table 2: The Stormceptor system clean out is based on 15 percent of the sediment storage volume in the unit.

References

Winkler, E.S. 1998. "Technology Assessment, Stormceptor." University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA. *STEP Web site:* www.stepsite.org/progress/reports

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection and Office of Coastal Zone Management. 1997. "Stormwater Management Handbooks, Volumes One and Two." Boston, MA. *Handbooks Web site:* www.state.ma.us/dep/brp/ stormwtr/stormpub.htm.

"Performance of a Proprietary Stormwater Treatment Device: The Stormceptor. The Practice of Watershed Protection: Article 120. Thomas. R. Schueler and Heather K. Holland editors. 2000. Ellicott City, MD.

United States Environmental Protection Agency. "Storm Water Technology Fact Sheet Hydrodynamic Separators." EPA 832-F-99-017.

Stormceptor Web sites: www.rinkermaterials.com/ stormceptor

TARP Web site: www.dep.state.pa.us/dep/deputate/ pollprev/techservices/tarp.

.

Northampton, Massachusetts

Stormwater Drainage Report Appendix

<u>Appendix D – Standard 3 Recharge Calculations</u>

The Berkshire Design Group, Inc. 4 Allen Place Northampton, Massachusetts 01060 Hardard 3	Landscape Architecture Civil Engineering Planning Urban Design Environmental Services	JOB North Street Condumi SHEET NUMBER CALCULATED BY <u>BCO</u> CHECKED BY SCALE Target Depth Factor = 0.7 for typ	DATE DATE DATE DATE C^* C^* Soils
Dry Vell 2	Impervious Area Rv = (7085f) Volume provi	to System = 708s F * (+=) * (0.25in) = 15 ded = 52cf VOKAY	f e f ,
Dry Well 2	Imp Area to syste Rv = (1988st)*(Volume Provid	m = 1988 sf $(\frac{155}{125}) * (0.75 \text{in}) = 41$ ded = 99cf VOKAY	/cf
Dry Well 3	Imp Area to syste Rv = (17329f) t Volume provid	m= 1732sf ((4])* (0,25:n) = nd = 100cf VORAY	36 c f
Dry Well 4	Imp Area to su Rv = (2076st) Volume prov	49tem = 2076 sf) (+++) (0.25:1) = ided = 89cF √oka	44 cf 1

Landscape Architecture Civil Engineering Planning Urban Design Environmental Services

JOB North Street (an	dominiums
SHEET NUMBER 2	OF 5
CALCULATED BY BO	DATE 2/19/09
CHECKED BY	DATE
SCALE	

4 Allen Place Northampton, Massachusetts 01060

Dry Well 5 Imp Area to system= 1900 5F $k_{v} = (1400 \text{ st}) * (\frac{11}{12}) * (0.25 \text{ in}) = 40 \text{ cf}$ Volume Aavided = 80 cf (Note: plans state Dry Uells holds 67 cf. This is an JOKAY orror on the plans)

 $\frac{\text{DyWell 6}}{\text{Rw}=(765sF) \times (\frac{11+}{12^{-}}) \times (0.25in) = 16cF}{\text{Volume Provided} = 32cF \sqrt{0KAY}}$

Dry Well 7 ImpAriantorystem = 766 st Rv = (7653F)* (1Pt) Volume provided = 32cF VOKAY

Infiltration Turnch Impervisous Arou to system = 5824 st Rv = (5824st) * (15+) * (0.25in) = 121cf Volume provided = 152 cf VOKAY Hywell 8 ImpArm to system Rv = (1910sf) * (15+) * (0.25in) = 40cf Rv = (1910sf) * (15+) * (0.25in) = 40cf Volume provided = 90 cf JoKAY



 $R_v = 80cF$

*K= 0.17in) /r

Bolton Area = 2009F

$$\frac{Bocf}{(0.17in/hr \times \frac{1}{12r})(200st)} = 28.2 hrs$$

Avea = 2005t 28.2 hrs 2 72 hrs VOKAY *Kualues based on Rawlis Roles intable 2.3.3 in Uol 3 chul see appendix for TP Oata



Civil Engineering Planning Urban Design Environmental Services 0

Landscape Architecture

Street (andominium's JOB SHEET NUMBER OF CALCULATED BY CA DATE 2/19/09 CHECKED BY DATE SCALE

 $\frac{16 cF}{(0.17in)hr - \frac{1}{12}} (80sf) = 14.1hrs$

14.1 hrs & 72hrs JOKAY

4 Allen Place Northampton, Massachusetts 01060

Dry Well 6_ Rv= 16cf *K= O.Hinlhr Bottom Area = 80st

Pry Well 7 Rv = 16cf * K = 0.17 mlhr Bettom Ara = 80st

 $\frac{16cf}{(0.17)hr} = 14.1hrs$ 14.1 his & 72hrs VOKAY

Infiltration Trench $R_v = 153cF$ rk=0.17inlbr Bottom Area = 695 st

 $\frac{152cf}{(0.17.1)hr} = 15.4 hrs$ 15.4 hrs 272 hrs VOKAY

Dry Well B $R_{v} = 90 cF$ *K= OliFinkr Bottom Area = 2245F

 $\frac{90cf}{(0.Hinlhr)(11)(224sf)} = 28.4hrs$ 28. This & F2Lis JOKA

* Kvalues based on Rawls Rules in Tuble 2.3.3 in Vol 3. chot



Landscape Architecture Civil Engineering Planning Urban Design Environmental Services

North Street Condiminiums JOB SHEET NUMBER_ OF_5 2 CALCULATED BY BCD DATE 2 CHECKED BY DATE SCALE

4 Allen Place Northampton, Massachusetts 01060

Capture Area

Total New imporvious area = 47 959 st

Impervious finea sent to infiltration systems 708 \$	Pry Well 1
1988 54	Pry Dell'2
1732 \$	Dry Well 3
207655	Drylden 4
1900 57	Dry Well 5
7655F	PryVell6
7655F	Dry Wd17
5824sf	Inf. Hection Treat
1900 sF	Pryleine
17, 658 sf	•

go of new impervious area to infiltration system =

The to high ground water and hydrologic group'c' soils throughout the site, infiltration was could ally be achieved to the maximum extent practicable. . ł į

Northampton, Massachusetts

Stormwater Drainage Report Appendix

<u>Appendix E – Proposed Stormwater Management</u> <u>System Operation & Maintenance Plan</u>

Operation & Maintenance Plan

Proposed Stormwater Management System Operation & Maintenance Plan

During Construction

The Contractor shall be responsible for inspection and maintenance during construction.

At all times, siltation fabric fencing and stakes sufficient to construct a sedimentation control barrier a minimum of 50 feet long will be stockpiled on the site in order to repair established barriers which may have been damaged or breached.

An inspection of all erosion control and stormwater management systems shall be conducted by the Contractor at least once a week and during all rain storms until the completion of construction. In case of any noted breach or failure, the Contractor shall immediately make appropriate repairs to any erosion control system and notify the engineer of any problems involving stormwater management systems.

A rain storm shall be defined as all or one of the following:

- Any storm in which rain is predicted to last for twelve consecutive hours or more.
- Any storm for which a flash flood watch or warning is issued.
- Any single storm predicted to have a cumulative rainfall of greater than one-half inch.
- Any storm not meeting the previous three thresholds but which would mark a third consecutive day of measurable rainfall.

The Contractor shall also inspect the erosion control and stormwater management systems at times of significant increase in surface water runoff due to rapid thawing when the risk of failure of erosion control measures is significant.

In such instances as remedial action is necessary, the Contractor shall repair any and all significant deficiencies in erosion control systems within two days.

The Conservation Commission shall be notified of any significant failure of stormwater management systems and erosion and sediment control measures and shall be notified of any release of pollutants to a water body (stream, brook, pond, etc.).

Northampton, MA

The Contractor shall remove the sediment from behind the fence of the sedimentation control barrier when the accumulated sediment has reached one-half of the original installed height of the barrier.

Post-Construction

Stormwater Management System Owner:

The Owner,

Tofino Associates, Inc. 31 Campus Plaza Road Hadley, MA 01035

shall own the stormwater management system.

Party Responsible for Operation & Maintenance:

The Owner,

Tofino Associates, Inc. 31 Campus Plaza Road Hadley, MA 01035

shall operate and maintain the stormwater management system.

Inspection & Maintenance Schedule:

1) Street Sweeping

Street and parking area sweeping shall take place twice annually.

2) Rain Garden

A rain garden has been incorporated into the stormwater system to remove pollutants within the stormwater runoff. Both the pre-treatment stone diaphragm/sod system and bioretention areas should be inspected monthly for sediment build-up, litter and debris, structural damage and standing water. Inspect soil and repair eroded areas within the bioretention system monthly and re-mulch void areas as needed. Treat diseased vegetation as needed. Remove and replace dead vegetation at least once per year. Remove invasive species as needed to prevent them from spreading into the bioretention area. Replace mulch every year in the early spring. In the winter, it is important to ensure that snow is not plowed into the rain garden as this will cause the runoff to bypass the system without proper treatment.

Operation & Maintenance Plan

3) Detention Basin (The following recommendations follow the MADEP Stormwater Policy guidelines.)

Inspections

- a. Initial six months of use.
 Examine for stabilization and function, including determination of the duration of water standing in the basin, any sediment erosion, excessive compaction of soils, or low spots.
- b. Twice per year. Examine basin for the following: differential settlement, cracking, erosion, leakage, or tree growth on embankments, condition of riprap, sediment accumulation, and health of turf where applicable.

Any adverse conditions noted during any inspections shall be addressed by repair or reconsideration of design components.

Mowing and General Maintenance

Occasional mowing (2 times per year min.) shall be performed on the side slopes and basin bottom where turf is present. Accumulated grass clippings and/or organic matter and trash and debris shall be removed. Any clogged surface areas can be loosened by deep tilling; tilled areas must be immediately revegetated. Tilling may be used in this manner for no more than two consecutive maintenance periods. Thereafter, sediment in the clogged areas shall be removed, liner material replaced, and revegetation established.

Dredging/Sediment Removal

Accumulated sediment shall be removed from the basin at five (5) year intervals, or as required to maintain the function of the stormwater management system as designed. During this process and until the disturbed sediment has settled, the outlet pipe shall be sealed so as to minimize the risk of conveying sediment beyond the basin.

3a) **Subsurface Detention Basin**

The subsurface portion of the detention basin should be visually inspected at least twice per year for sediment and debris accumulation in and around the inlet grate as well as within the structure itself. Sediments and debris should be removed and disposed of in accordance with local, state and federal guidelines and regulations.

4) Grassed Swales

Swales shall be mowed at least once per growing season to prevent establishment of woody growth and other undesirable plants that inhibit proper performance. Grass vegetation should not be cut shorter than 4". It is important not to engage in excessive mowing operations, as this keeps the grass too short and decreases the efficiency of the vegetation to reduce runoff borne sediments and velocities.

Sediment and debris shall be removed manually at least once per year before the vegetation is adversely impacted.

5) Hooded Catch Basin and/or Drain Manhole with Sump

Oil and water separators should be inspected at least four times per year and cleaned annually or more often if required. Oil and sediments should be removed and disposed of in accordance with local, state and federal guidelines and regulations. In the case of an oil or bulk pollutant release, the system must be cleaned immediately following the spill and the proper authorities notified.

Stormwater Treatment Chambers 6)

The Stormwater Treatment System requires minimal routine maintenance; however, it is important that the system be properly inspected and cleaned when necessary in order to function at its best. The rate at which the system collects pollutants will depend more heavily on site activities than the size of the unit, e.g. heavy winter sanding will cause the grit chamber to fill more quickly, but regular sweeping will slow accumulation. The water quality treatment system shall consist of Stormceptor or equal treatment chambers. For more detail of how the Stormceptor should be maintained see the Stormceptor Owner Manual.

7) **Dry Wells**

Dry wells basins have been incorporated into the stormwater system for the site to specifically receive roof runoff and, therefore, are not expected to receive large amounts of bulk sediments. Proper maintenance of roof gutters that drain to the system will help to protect the integrity of the infiltration basins. Sediments and debris should be removed and disposed of in accordance with local, state and federal guidelines and regulations.

8) Infiltration Trench

Infiltration trenches require regular removal of accumulated sediment to maintain an optimal rate of infiltration. Pretreatment BMPs (Deep Sump Hooded Catch Basins) should be routinely inspected and cleaned at least twice a year to prevent sediment from entering the infiltration system. Inspect the infiltration trench after the first several rainfall events, after all major storms, and on regularly scheduled dates every six months. After large storms the trench should be inspected for ponding water through the observation well. If ponding is occurring this may indicate that the trench requires rehabilitation. To rehabilitate a trench all accumulated sediment must be stripped from the bottom, the bottom of the trench must be scarified and tilled to induce infiltration, and all of the stone aggregate and filter fabric or media must be removed and replaced. The grass on top of the trench should be mowed seasonally to maintain a level of no higher than 4 inches.

8) Snow Removal & Management Plan

General

The stormwater management system is designed to accommodate volumes from snow melt. Since plowed snow from paved surface may contain salts, sediment, oils and various pollutants, all snow melt from vehicular areas on the site shall be routed through the drainage system or removed from the site.

Principles

1. The Owner shall provide a copy of this plan and a schedule or vehicle rotation scheme to plowing contractors such that plowing may occur in an efficient manner. This may be altered based on employee schedules or severity or frequency of snow events.

2. No such snow shall be dumped or stockpiled directly into any resource area or within any area such that untreated snow melt may enter a resource area.

3. Snow removed from the site shall be disposed of such that it or its melt will have no adverse effect on other resource areas.

4. The Owner shall use alternative eco-friendly solutions throughout the site in place of standard de-icing materials.

Operation & Maintenance Plan

5. The Conservation Commission and DPW shall be notified where a violation of this plan occurs.

6. See Figure 1 below depicting the snow stockpiling plan.





INSPECTION AND MAINTANENCE REPORT FORM

North Street Condominiums, Northampton, MA

IN IN DECEM	MROF TROPER EQUANELIM
Merri Street Centre	minitude - StornWater Infrastructure
FORM TO BE COMPLETE	Inspection Schedule: D PER SCHEDULE PRESENTED IN OPERATION & MAINTENANCE PLAN
Inspector:	
Date:	Time:
Inspector's Qualifications:	
Days Since Last Rainfall:	
Amount of Last Rainfall (inches):	

Catch Basins

СВ	Is Surface Runoff Being Directed to Catch Basins Properly	Are Sediment Traps Installed at Catch Basin Inlets	Are Catch Basin Outlet Hoods Installed and Working Properly	Depth of Sediment in Basin Sump	Are Any Correction Measures Required
CB#1	-				
CB#2					
CB#3					
2					
				424.1	

Maintenance Required:

To Be Performed By:

On or Before:

The Berkshire Design Group, Inc.

INSPECTION AND MAINTANENCE REPORT FORM

STRUCTURAL CONTROLS -CON'T

Stormwater Treatment Chambers

SWTC	Is Surface Runoff Being Directed Through SWTS Properly	Depth of Sediment in Basin Sump	Are Any Correction Measures Required
SWTC #1			

Maintenance Required:

To Be Performed By:

- -

On or Before:

Rain Garden						
Structure	Is Structure Working Properly	Depth of Sediment in Structure	Are Any Correction Measures Required	Additional Notes		
Stone/Grass Pretreatment						
Rain Garden						

Maintenance Required:

To Be Performed By:	On or Before:

Grassed Swale

Structure	Is Structure Working Properly	Depth of Sediment in Structure	Are Any Correction Measures Required	Additional Notes
Grass swale				

Maintenance Required:

To Be Performed By:

On or Before:

INSPECTION AND MAINTANENCE REPORT FORM

Is Stormwater Entering Basin Correctly	Is Stormwater Being Detained and Discharged Properly	Depth of Sediment	Is Erosion Stabilization Properly Installed & Maintained	Is There Any Evidence of Erosion Or unintended Flow Patterns	ls Mowing Required

Surface Stormwater Detention Basin

Sub-Surface Stormwater Detention Basin

Is Stormwater Entering Basin Correctly	Is Stormwater Being Detained and Discharged Properly	Depth of Sediment	Is Erosion Stabilization Properly Installed & Maintained	Is There Any Evidence of Erosion Or unintended Flow Patterns

Maintenance Required:

To Be Performed By:	On or Before:

Dry Wells

Structure	Is Structure Working Properly	Are Any Correction Measures Required	Additional Notes
Dry Well 1			
Dry Well 2			
Dry Well 3			
Dry Well 4			
Dry Well 5			
Dry Well 6			
Dry Well 7			
Dry Well 8			

Maintenance Required:

	· · ·
To Be Performed By:	On or Before:

- - -

OTHER CONTROLS

List Other Miscellaneous Controls and Observations

Item	Describe Failure/Inadequate Control	Describe Recommended Remedy
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Maintenance Required:

To Be Performed By:	On or Before:

Stormwater Drainage Report Appendix

Appendix F – Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan

Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan

This plan was developed in compliance with the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Stormwater requirements.

Good Housekeeping

The proposed site is designed to maintain high water quality treatment for all runoff. A general maintenance plan has been prepared and will be followed in a strict and complete manner as required.

Spill Prevention Plan

No hazardous materials are will be stored on site. However the following spill prevention plan will be incorporated into the Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan:

- Manufacturers' recommended methods for spill cleanup will be clearly posted and site personnel will be made aware of the procedures and the location of the information and cleanup supplies.
- Materials and equipment necessary for spill cleanup will be kept in the on-site material storage area. Equipment and materials will include, but is not limited to, brooms, dust pans, mops, rags, gloves, goggles, kitty litter, sand, sawdust and plastic and metal trash containers specifically for this purpose.
- All spills will be cleaned up immediately after discovery.
- The spill area will be kept well ventilated and personnel will wear appropriate protective clothing to prevent injury from contact with a hazardous substance.
- Spills of toxic or hazardous material will be reported, regardless of size, to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection at 888-304-1133.
- Should a spill occur, the spill prevention plan will be adjusted to include measures to prevent another spill and to cleanup up the spill should another occur. A description of the spill, along with the causes and cleanup measures will be included in the updated spill prevention plan.
- The construction superintendent responsible for daily operation on the construction site will be the spill prevention and cleanup coordinator. The superintendent will designate at least three site personnel to receive spill prevention cleanup and training. These individuals will each become responsible for a particular phase of prevention and cleanup. The names of responsible spill personnel will be posted in the material storage area and in the on-site job trailer.

Stormwater BMP Maintenance

A full stormwater operation and maintenance plan has been prepared (see Appendix D of this report) in order to ensure that the system will function properly throughout the year.

Landscape and Lawn Maintenance

Routine mowing and associated maintenance of all landscape features will occur weekly or as needed to prevent excessive growth and debris from occurring on site. North Street Condominiums

Northampton, MA

Solid Waste Management

Solid waste is handled on site and will comply with all requirements on a local, state, and federal level.

Parking/Road Area Maintenance

Street sweeping shall occur 2-4 times per year. A snow management plan has been prepared (see Appendix D) to prevent dirty snow and salt from entering the resource area.

Training of Staff

All personnel on site will be well briefed on all requirements for implementing the Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan.

Emergency Contact for Implementing Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan

Tofino Associates, Inc. 31 Campus Plaza Rd Hadley, MA 01035 413 256 0321

Northampton, Massachusetts

Stormwater Drainage Report Appendix

<u>Appendix G – Stormwater Pollution Prevention</u> <u>Plan</u>



Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

Prepared in compliance with City of Northampton Stormwater Management Permit

> North Street Condominiums Northern Avenue and North Street Northampton, Massachusetts

> > November 11, 2008 Revised February 19, 2009

Prepared by:



4 Allen Place, Northampton, Massachusetts 01060

Prepared For: Tofino Associates, Inc. 31 Campus Plaza Road Hadley, MA 01035

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SITE & PROJECT DESCRIPTION 1	L
Project Name and Location1	Ł
Applicant & Owner Names and Addresses Error! Bookmark not defined.	
Existing Zoning & Land Use & Site Area1	ί
Proposed Project 2	2
Runoff Coefficient	2
Sequence of Major Construction Activities 2	2
Name of Receiving Waters	ļ
CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION 4	ŀ
Erosion and Sediment Controls4	ŀ
Stormwater Management	i
Other Controls	;
Timing of Controls	,
MAINTENANCE AND INSPECTION PROCEDURES	1
Erosion and Sediment Control Inspection and Maintenance Practices	,
Non-Stormwater Discharges	,
Inventory for Pollution Prevention Plan9	2
Spill Prevention	
Spill Control Practices10	
CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL REGULATIONS	
POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN CERTIFICATION	
CONTRACTOR'S CERTIFICATION	

.

SITE & PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Name and Location

Northern Avenue Housing 56 Northern Avenue Northampton MA 01060

Applicant/Owner Name and Address:

Tofino Associates, Inc. 31 Campus Plaza Road Hadley, MA 01035

Notification – Prior To Construction: General C

General Contractor/Operator:

To Be Determined

City of Northampton Department of Public Works 30 Locust Street Northampton, MA 01060

Mr. Douglas McDonald NPDES Coordinator Phone: 413-587-1582

Existing Zoning & Land Use & Site Area

The project parcel is zoned URB district and is located on the west side of Northern Avenue and north of North Street. The site currently contains a large wetland area to the west, with woods, grassed, and small roadway areas throughout the rest of site. The overall curve number in existing conditions is 74 and water flows in a westerly direction through the wetlands and to a small river located at the southwest portion of the property line (see figure 1 on page 3). The total area of the site is approximately 6 acres, of which approximately 2.44 acres will be disturbed by construction activities.

Proposed Project

The proposed development includes 23 new housing units and associated parking areas, driveways, and sidewalks, utilities, landscape features and stormwater management system. A large wetland area exists on the west part of the site and will not be disturbed as a result of construction.

North Street Condominiums Northampton, Massachusetts

Stormwater management will consist of catch basins, manholes, a stormwater treatment chamber, a detention basin, dry wells, an infiltration trench, a rain garden and temporary erosion control devices during construction (see attached plan EC1). Treated stormwater discharge will flow into the wetlands as it does in existing conditions.

Soil disturbing activities will include: installation of erosion and sediment controls; tree removal and grubbing; grading; excavation for building foundation, utility trenches, and landscape features, and site grading; installation of retaining walls, curbs, fences/guardrails, light pole foundations; paving of driveways, walkways and parking areas; and preparation for final seeding and planting.

Runoff Coefficient

Drainage calculations for this project, developed using the SCS TR20 method, resulted in an SCS overall curve number (CN) of 79 for the proposed project site.

Sequence of Major Construction Activities

The general order of construction activities at the site will be as follows:

- 1. Hold preconstruction meeting at least one week prior to start of construction
- 2. Install stabilized construction entrances
- 3. Install perimeter sediment barrier in the following four steps:
 - a. Field marking of the work limit/sediment barrier location.
 - b. Review the marked locations by the approving authority, or the designer, as ordered by the Conservation Commission.
 - c. Cutting and removal of vegetation in the area as necessary to allow equipment access for most effective sediment barrier installation (no stumping or grubbing of stumps)
 - d. Installation of the sediment barrier, with equipment use as needed. No other work is permitted within 100 feet of the wetland until the Perimeter Sediment Barrier is installed.
- 4. Clear and grub for sedimentation basins
- 5. Construct detention basins and swales to be used as temporary sedimentation basins during construction activities
- 6. Convey overland flow directly to sedimentation basins until stormwater infrastructure is constructed
- 7. Continue clearing and grubbing
- 8. Stockpile topsoil
- 9. Install utilities, storm drainage structures and basins, and curbs.
- 10. Protect stormwater structure inlets with sediment control devices.
- 11. Install building foundations and construct buildings
- 12. Grade and apply gravel base to driveways, walkways, and roadways
- 13. Complete grading and install paving
- 14. Install permanent seeding and plantings
- 15. Remove sediments accumulated in sedimentation basin and in front of silt fence barrier
- 16. Remove silt fence barrier and reseed areas disturbed by its removal

North Street Condominiums	DRAFT Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
Northampton, Massachusetts	November 11, 2008-Revised 2/19/08

- 17. Remove temporary sedimentation basin outlet piping. Grade and seed areas as specified on the grading and utility plans.
- 18. Clean and flush all drainage structures and lines
- 19. Schedule post construction conference and inspection

Name of Receiving Waters

The site ultimately to a stream which flows to Market Street Brook which is piped parallel to the railroad tracks all the way to the Old Mill River near the Waste Water Treatment Plant off of Hockanum Road. Please see figure 1 below depicting the stream flow direction.



Figure 1
Northampton, Massachusetts

CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION

Erosion and Sediment Controls

General Sedimentation Control Practices

The following general erosion and sediment controls will be utilized during construction in order to maintain local water quality:

- 1. Erosion control barriers will be installed prior to clearing and excavation work.
- 2. Grading and other soil disturbance will be done so as to minimize erosion during wet seasons.
- 3. A temporary sedimentation basin with required controls will be constructed early in the project to allow the basin to treat runoff prior to discharging from the site.
- 4. Sediment will periodically be removed from behind sediment trapping devices and from within the temporary sedimentation basin.
- 5. The clearing of natural vegetation will be minimized; remaining natural vegetation will be protected from nearby construction to the greatest degree possible.
- 6. Staging and soil stockpile areas shall have a siltation fence or other approved barrier installed immediately downgradient of such areas.
- 7. Designated temporary dewatering basins will be used for dewatering.
- 8. Disturbed areas will be stabilized as soon as possible after construction.
- 9. Maintenance and cleaning of construction vehicles and equipment will take place in designated staging areas only.

Stabilization Practices

The following stabilization practices will be utilized during construction in order to maintain local water quality:

- 1. Temporary stabilization: temporary seeding, mulching or other suitable stabilization measures will be utilized to protect disturbed areas and stockpiles during prolonged construction periods.
- 2. Permanent stabilization: areas disturbed by construction will be permanently stabilized by paving with concrete or bituminous concrete, by installation of plant material, or by seeding and mulching with seed mix as described in the project specifications. Seeded areas will be covered with straw mulch or biodegradable netting in order to protect surface until seed germination.

Structural Practices

The following structural erosion and sediment controls will be utilized during construction in order to maintain local water quality:

- 1. Sediment Barriers: silt barriers will be installed along the downslope edge of areas of work.
 - Sediment will be removed from behind silt fence when it reaches half the original height of the fence.
 - Fences will be inspected weekly and both before and after storm events. Repairs and replacement will take place as necessary.
- 2. Dewatering Basins/collectors: Dewatering basins/collectors will be constructed where required prior to excavation activities. These basins will act to settle suspended solids from pumped groundwater.
 - Dewatering Basins and collectors will be sized according to the amount of groundwater encountered at a particular location.
 - Dewatering Basins will utilize a perforated standpipe wrapped in filter fabric for discharge
- 3. Catch Basin Filters: Filters consisting of silt sack and/or filter fabric fence, embedded 4-6" in the ground, will surround each existing and proposed catch basin. Filter fabric will also be installed under each inlet grate.
 - Filters will be placed around each catch basin prior to paving or planting.
 - Sediment will be removed when it reaches half of the original height of the filter.
 - Filter fabric under the inlet grate will be monitored and replaced as required.
 - Filters will be removed only after upgradient areas have been permanently stabilized.
- 4. Dust Control: Dust control will be maintained by sprinkler or water truck during construction to minimize sediment transport and maintain air quality at an acceptable level.
- 5. Roadway Stabilization: Until final paving takes place, project roadways and parking areas will be stabilized by grading with clean gravel. Emergency access and service roadways will be maintained as clean gravel surfaces. A temporary Stabilized Construction Access will be constructed prior to the start of excavation work.

Stormwater Management

A system for stormwater management has been designed for this project. The system, designed by a professional engineer, utilizes curbs and gutters, catch basins, a stormwater treatment chamber, a rain garden, an infiltration trench, dry wells, and a detention basin to reduce total suspended solids (TSS) equal to or in excess of 80% and ensure that peak flows for 2-, 10-, and

North Street Condominiums	DRAFT Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
Northampton, Massachusetts	November 11, 2008 Revised 2/19/08

100-year storms remain at or below their estimated historic levels. Water from the project site will be discharged to the wetlands on the west part of the site as it does in existing conditions.

A maintenance plan for the stormwater management system has also been developed. The maintenance plan includes removal of oil and sediment from hooded catch basins, removal of oil and sediment from stormwater treatment chambers, clearing and mowing of debris for all basins, and annual sweeping of drives and parking lots.

Other Controls

Waste Disposal

Waste Materials: Waste materials will be collected and stored in a lidded metal dumpster rented from a licensed solid waste management company. All trash and construction debris will be stored in the dumpster. The dumpster will be emptied at least twice a week, or more if necessary, and disposed of in accordance with local, state and federal regulation. No construction waste materials will be buried on site. Notices stating these procedures will be posted in the job trailer. Site personnel will be instructed in these procedures and site construction supervisor(s) will ensure that the procedures are followed.

Hazardous Waste: Hazardous waste will be disposed of in the manner specified by local, state and federal regulation or by the manufacturer. Site personnel will be instructed in these procedures and site construction supervisor(s) will ensure that the procedures are followed.

Sanitary Waste: Sanitary waste will be collected from portable units a minimum of three times per week by a licensed sanitary waster contractor and disposed of in accordance with local, state and federal regulation.

Off-Site Vehicle Tracking

A stabilized construction entrance will be provided to help reduce tracking of sediments off the site. Stone will be used, which will be large enough not to become embedded in truck tires, at the entrance. The paved street adjacent to the construction entrance will be swept daily to reduce mud, dirt or sediment tracked from the site. Dump trucks hauling material to and from the site will be covered by tarps as necessary.

Timing of Controls

As indicated in the Sequence of major Activities, silt fence barrier, stabilized construction entrance and sedimentation basin will be constructed prior to clearing or grading of any other portions of the site. No excavation or dewatering activities will take place in an area until appropriate dewatering basins or sediment control structures have been installed. Areas where construction activity temporarily ceases for more than 21 days will be stabilized with temporary seed and mulch within 14 days of the last disturbance. Once construction activity ceases permanently in an area that area will be stabilized with plant material or pavement as indicated in North Street Condominiums Northampton, Massachusetts

ŧ

,

the plans. After the entire site is stabilized, the accumulated sediment will be removed from the sediment basin.

MAINTENANCE AND INSPECTION PROCEDURES

Erosion and Sediment Control Inspection and Maintenance Practices

The following inspection and maintenance practices will be utilized in this project to maintain sediment and erosion controls:

- All control measures will be inspected weekly (at a minimum) and within 24 hours after any storm event of 0.5 inches or greater.
- All measures will be maintained in good working order; if a repair is necessary, it will be initiated within 48 hours of report.
- Contractor will stockpile on site or make available all equipment, materials (e.g. filter fabric, crushed stone, etc.) and labor necessary to make emergency erosion control improvements within 24 hours if necessary.
- Built-up sediment will be removed from silt fence when it has reached one-third the height of the fence.
- Silt fence will be inspected for depth of sediment, tears, to verify that fabric is securely attached to the stakes and to verify that stakes are firmly in the ground.
- Sediment basin(s) will be inspected for depth of sediment, and accumulated sediment will be removed when it reaches 10 percent of the design capacity or at the end of the job.
- Temporary and permanent seeding will be inspected for bare spots, washouts and healthy growth.
- A maintenance inspection report will be made after each inspection.
- The site contractor will select one or more individuals who will be responsible for inspections, maintenance and repair activities and for completing inspection and maintenance reports.
- Individuals selected for inspection and maintenance responsibilities will receive training in all inspection and maintenance practices necessary for keeping the on-site erosion and sediment controls in good working order.

Non-Stormwater Discharges

It is expected that the following non-stormwater discharges will occur from the site during the construction period:

- Water from water line flushings.
- Pavement wash waters (where no spills or leaks of toxic or hazardous chemicals have occurred.
- Uncontaminated groundwater from dewatering excavations.
- Water from washing the exterior of construction vehicles.

Non-stormwater discharges will be directed to stabilized surfaces or the detention basin prior to discharge. Exterior washing and rinsing of vehicles will take place more than 100 feet from wetlands or waterways.

Inventory for Pollution Prevention Plan

Asphalt	Masonry block
Cleaning solvents	Metal studs
Concrete	Paints (enamel and latex)
Detergents	Petroleum-based products
Fertilizers	Solvents
Gravel	Wood

Spill Prevention

All employees will be instructed regarding the following spill prevention practices. Notice of these practices will be posted in the job trailer, and the site construction supervisor will hold responsibility for ensuring that the procedures are followed.

Material Management Practices

The following material management practices will be used to reduce the risk of spills or other accidental exposure of materials and substances to stormwater runoff:

Good Housekeeping

The following good housekeeping practices will be followed on-site during the construction period:

An effort will be made to store only enough product to do the job. All materials stored on-site will be stored in a neat, orderly manner in their appropriate containers and, if possible, under a roof or other enclosure. Products will be kept in their original containers with the original manufacturer's label. Substances will not be mixed with one another unless recommended by the manufacturer. Whenever possible, all of a product will be used up before disposing of the container.

Manufacturer's recommendations for proper used and disposal will be followed.

The site superintendent will inspect daily to ensure proper use and disposal of material on-site.

Hazardous Products

The following practices will reduce the risks associated with hazardous materials (e.g. petroleum products, solvents, etc.):

- Products will be kept in original containers unless they are not resealable.
- Original labels and material safety data sheets (MSDS) will be retained; they contain important product information.
- A copy of the Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) for each product used in construction will be kept in the job trailer.
- If surplus product must be disposed of, manufacturer' or local- and state-recommended methods for proper disposal will be followed.

Product Specific Practices

Petroleum Products: All on-site vehicles will be monitored for leaks and will receive regular preventative maintenance to reduce the chance of leakage. Petroleum products will be stored in tightly sealed, clearly labeled containers. Any asphalt substances used on-site will be applied according to the manufacturer's recommendations. No vehicle refueling or maintenance will take place within 100 feet of a wetland or waterway. No petroleum-based or asphalt substances will be stored within 100 feet of a wetland or waterway.

Fertilizers: Fertilizers used will be applied only in the minimum amounts recommended by the manufacturer. Once applied, fertilizer will be worked into the soil to limit exposure to stormwater. Unused fertilizer will be stored in a covered shed. The contents of any partially used bags of fertilizer will be transferred to a sealable plastic bin to avoid spills. No fertilizers will be stored within 100 feet of a wetland or waterway.

Solvents, Paints and Other Hazardous Substances: All containers will be tightly sealed when not required for use. Excess material will not be discharged to the storm sewer system but will be properly disposed of according to manufacturers' instruction or local and state regulations. No solvents, paints or other hazardous substances will be stored within 100 feet of a wetland or waterway.

Concrete Trucks: Concrete trucks will not be allowed to wash out or discharge surplus concrete or drum wash water on the site.

Spill Control Practices

In addition to the good housekeeping and material management practices discussed in the previous sections of this plan, the following practices will be followed for spill prevention and cleanup:

- Manufacturers' recommended methods for spill cleanup will be clearly posted and site personnel will be made aware of the procedures and the location of the information and cleanup supplies.
- Materials and equipment necessary for spill cleanup will be kept in the on-site material storage area. Equipment and materials will include, but is not limited to, brooms, dust pans, mops, rags, gloves, goggles, kitty litter, sand, sawdust and plastic and metal trash containers specifically for this purpose.
- All spills will be cleaned up immediately after discovery.
- The spill area will be kept well ventilated and personnel will wear appropriate protective clothing to prevent injury from contact with a hazardous substance.
- Spills of toxic or hazardous material will be reported, regardless of size, to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection at 888-304-1133.
- Should a spill occur, the spill prevention plan will be adjusted to include measures to prevent another spill and to cleanup up the spill should another occur. A description of

North Street Condominiums

Northampton, Massachusetts

the spill, along with the causes and cleanup measures will be included in the updated spill prevention plan.

• The construction superintendent responsible for daily operation on the construction site will be the spill prevention and cleanup coordinator. The superintendent will designate at least three site personnel to receive spill prevention cleanup and training. These individuals will each become responsible for a particular phase of prevention and cleanup. The names of responsible spill personnel will be posted in the material storage area and in the on-site job trailer.

North Street Condominiums Northampton, Massachusetts

<u>CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL</u> <u>REGULATIONS</u>

This stormwater pollution prevention plan reflects State of Massachusetts requirements for stormwater management and sediment and erosion control as established by the *Wetlands Protection Act* (310 CMR 10.00) and by the Department of Environmental Protection *Stormwater Management Policy*. To ensure compliance, this plan was prepared in consultation with the following publications:

Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Department of Environmental Protection. *Stormwater Management Policy*. November 1997.

Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Department of Environmental Protection. Wetlands Protection Act Regulations: 310 CMR 10.00 for Administering M.G.L. Chapter 31, Section 40. November 1997.

Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Department of Environmental Protection and Office of Coastal Zone Management. *Stormwater Management, Volume One: Stormwater Policy Handbook*. March 1997.

Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Department of Environmental Protection and Office of Coastal Zone Management. *Stormwater Management, Volume Two: Stormwater Technical Handbook.* March 1997.

United States Environmental Protection Agency. Storm Water Management For Construction Activities, Developing Pollution Prevention Plans And Best Management Practices, Summary Guidance. October 1992.

North Street Condominiums Northampton, Massachusetts

POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Signed: _____

CONTRACTOR'S CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of law that I understand the terms and conditions of the general National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit that authorizes the stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity from the construction site identified as part of this certification.

Signature	Company	Responsible For
	Tel:	
	Tel:	
	Tel:	

SWPPP-INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

North Street Condominiums

os retannatoro (dimensional e mon e mon	NELUTION PREVENTION PLAN MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM FO LEUTION PREVENTION PLAN
FORM TO BE (General Pe	Inspection Schedule: COMPLETED EVERY 7 DAYS Inspection 3.10 Inspections)
Inspector:	
Date:	Time:
Inspector's Qualifications:	
Days Since Last Rainfall:	
Amount of Last Rainfall (inches):	

STABILIZATION MEASURES

Area of Site	Date Since Last Disturbed	Date of Next Disturbance	Stabilized? (Yes/No)	Stabilized With	Condition
Access Drive					

Stabilization Required:

To Be Performed By:

On or Before:

÷

SWPPP-INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

North Street Condominiums

STRUCTURAL CONTROLS

Entrance Tracking Pad

Is Sediment Tracking Pad Catching Sediment Before Collector Road	Is Gravel Clean or Filled With Sediment	Is Tracking Pad Width and Length Adequate to be Effective	Does Tracking Pad Require Replacement/Maintenance

Maintenance Required:

To Be Performed By:

On or Before:

Catch Basins

СВ	Is Surface Runoff Being Directed to Catch Basins Properly	Are Sediment Traps Installed at Catch Basin Inlets	Are Catch Basin Outlet Hoods Installed and Working Properly	Depth of Sediment in Basin Sump	Are Any Correction Measures Required
CB#1					
CB#2					
CB#3					

Maintenance Required:

To Be Performed By:

On or Before:

•

STRUCTURAL CONTROLS -CON'T

Stormwater Treatment Chambers

ѕѡтс	Is Surface Runoff Being Directed Through SWTC Properly	Depth of Sediment in Basin Sump	Are Any Correction Measures Required
SWTC #1			

Maintenance Required:

To Be Performed By:	On or Before:

Rain Garden/ Infiltration Trench

.

Structure	Is Structure Working Properly	Depth of Sediment in Structure	Are Any Correction Measures Required	Additional Notes
Rain Garden				
Infiltration Trench				

Maintenance Required:

To Be Performed By:	On or Before:

Surface Stormwater Detention Basin

Is Stormwater Entering Basin Correctly	Is Stormwater Being Detained and Discharged Properly	Depth of Sediment in Spreader	Is Erosion Stabilization Properly Installed & Maintained	Is There Any Evidence of Erosion Or unintended Flow Patterns

Maintenance Required:

To Be Performed By: On or Before:	· · · ·

SWPPP-INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

Is Stormwater Entering Basin Correctly	Is Stormwater Being Detained and Discharged Properly	Depth of Sediment in Spreader	Is Erosion Stabilization Properly Installed & Maintained	Is There Any Evidence of Erosion Or unintended Flow Patterns

Subsurface Stormwater Detention Basin

Maintenance Required:

To Be Performed By:	On or Before:

Dry Wells

Structure	Is Structure Working Properly	Are Any Correction Measures Required	Additional Notes
Dry Well 1			
Dry Well 2			
Dry Well 3			
Dry Well 4			
Dry Well 5			
Dry Well 6			
Dry Well 7			
Dry Well 8			

Maintenance Required:

To Be Performed By:

On or Before:

OTHER CONTROLS

List Other Miscellaneous Controls and Observations

ltem	Describe Failure/Inadequate Control	Describe Recommended Remedy
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· · · ·

RECOMENDED MODIFICATION(S) TO SWPPP

CHANGES REQUIRED TO THE POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN

REASONS FOR CHANGES:

- - -

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS:

INSPECTOR'S CERTIFICATION:

_

Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete.

Signature:	Date:	